4.2 Air Quality

This section describes the existing air quality conditions of the Proposed Project site and vicinity, identifies associated regulatory requirements, evaluates potential project and cumulative impacts, and identifies mitigation measures for any significant impacts related to implementation of the proposed Newell Creek Pipeline (NCP) Improvement Project (Proposed Project). The analysis is based on air quality modeling conducted for the Proposed Project, as part of the preparation of this environmental impact report (EIR). The results of the air quality modeling are summarized in this section and are included in Appendix E.

A summary of the comments received during the scoping period for this EIR is provided in Table 2-1 in Chapter 2, Introduction, and a complete list of comments is provided in Appendix A. There were no comments related to air quality.

4.2.1 Existing Conditions

4.2.1.1 Meteorological and Topographical Conditions

The Proposed Project is located in the North Central Coast Air Basin (Air Basin), which consists of Monterey, Santa Cruz, and San Benito counties and encompasses an area of 5,159 square miles. The northwest sector of the Air Basin is dominated by the Santa Cruz Mountains. The Diablo Range marks the northeastern boundary and, together with the southern extent of the Santa Cruz Mountains, forms the Santa Clara Valley, which extends into the northeastern tip of the Air Basin. Farther south, the Santa Clara Valley merges into the San Benito Valley, which extends northwest–southeast and has the Gabilan Range as its western boundary. To the west of the Gabilan Range is the Salinas Valley, which extends from Salinas at the northwest end to King City at the southeast end. The western side of the Salinas Valley is formed by the Sierra de Salinas, which also forms the eastern side of the smaller Carmel Valley. The coastal Santa Lucia Range defines the western side of the valley (Monterey Bay Air Resources District [MBARD] 2008). This series of mountain ranges and valleys influences the dispersion of criteria air pollutants through the Air Basin.

The semi-permanent Pacific High pressure cell in the eastern Pacific is the basic controlling factor in the climate of the Air Basin. In the summer, the Pacific High pressure cell is dominant and causes persistent west and northwest winds over the entire California coast. Air descends in the Pacific High pressure cell forming a stable temperature inversion of hot air over a cool coastal layer of air. As the air currents move onshore, they pass over cool ocean waters and bring fog and relatively cool air into the coastal valleys. The warmer air above acts as a lid to inhibit vertical air movement.

During the summer, the generally northwest–southeast orientation of mountainous ridges tends to restrict and channel the onshore air currents. Elevated ground-surface temperatures in the interior portion of the Salinas and San Benito valleys create a weak low pressure area that intensifies the onshore air flow during the afternoon and evening. In the fall, the surface winds become weak, and the marine layer grows shallow, dissipating altogether on some days. The air flow is occasionally reversed in a weak offshore movement, and the relatively stationary air mass is held in place by the Pacific High pressure cell, which allows pollutants to build up over a period of a few days. It is most often during this season that the north or east winds develop to transport pollutants from either the San Francisco Bay Area or the Central Valley into the Air Basin. During the

12287.04

winter, the Pacific High migrates southward and has less influence on the Air Basin. Air frequently flows in a southeasterly direction out of the Salinas and San Benito valleys, especially during night and morning hours. Northwest winds are nevertheless still dominant in winter, but easterly flow is more frequent. The general absence of deep, persistent inversions and the occasional storm systems usually results in good air quality for the Air Basin in winter and early spring (MBARD 2008).

4.2.1.2 Pollutants and Effects

Criteria Air Pollutants

Criteria air pollutants are defined as pollutants for which the federal and state governments have established ambient air quality standards, or criteria, for outdoor concentrations to protect public health. The national and California standards have been set, with an adequate margin of safety, at levels above which concentrations could be harmful to human health and welfare. These standards are designed to protect the most sensitive persons from illness or discomfort. Pollutants of concern include ozone (O₃), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), coarse particulate matter (PM₁₀), fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}), and lead. In California, sulfates, vinyl chloride, hydrogen sulfide, and visibility-reducing particles are also regulated as criteria air pollutants. These pollutants, as well as toxic air contaminants (TACs), are discussed in the following paragraphs. ¹

Ozone

O₃ is a strong-smelling, reactive, toxic chemical gas consisting of three oxygen atoms. It is a secondary pollutant formed in the atmosphere by a photochemical process involving the sun's energy and O₃ precursors. These precursors are mainly oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and reactive organic gases (ROGs, also termed volatile organic compounds or VOCs). The maximum effects of precursor emissions on O₃ concentrations usually occur several hours after they are emitted and many miles from the source. Meteorology and terrain play major roles in O₃ formation, and ideal conditions occur during summer and early autumn on days with low wind speeds or stagnant air, warm temperatures, and cloudless skies. O₃ exists in the upper atmosphere O₃ layer (stratospheric O₃) and at the Earth's surface in the troposphere (ground-level O₃).² The O₃ that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the California Air Resources Board (CARB) regulate as a criteria air pollutant is produced close to the ground level, where people live, exercise, and breathe. Ground-level O₃ is a harmful air pollutant that causes numerous adverse health effects and is thus considered "bad" O₃. Stratospheric, or "good," O₃ occurs naturally in the upper atmosphere, where it reduces the amount of ultraviolet light (i.e., solar radiation) entering the Earth's atmosphere. Without the protection of the beneficial stratospheric O₃ layer, plant and animal life would be seriously harmed.

O₃ in the troposphere causes numerous adverse health effects; short-term exposures (lasting for a few hours) to O₃ can result in breathing pattern changes, reduction of breathing capacity, increased susceptibility to

The descriptions of the criteria air pollutants and associated health effects are based on the EPA's Criteria Air Pollutants (EPA 2018a), CARB's Glossary of Air Pollutant Terms (CARB 2019a), and CARB's "Fact Sheet: Air Pollution Sources, Effects and Control" (CARB 2009).

The troposphere is the layer of the Earth's atmosphere nearest to the surface of the Earth. The troposphere extends outward about 5 miles at the poles and about 10 miles at the equator.

infections, inflammation of the lung tissue, and some immunological changes (EPA 2013). These health problems are particularly acute in sensitive receptors such as the sick, the elderly, and young children.

Inhalation of O₃ causes inflammation and irritation of the tissues lining human airways, causing and worsening a variety of symptoms. Exposure to O₃ can reduce the volume of air that the lungs breathe in and cause shortness of breath. O₃ in sufficient doses increases the permeability of lung cells, rendering them more susceptible to toxins and microorganisms. The occurrence and severity of health effects from O₃ exposure vary widely among individuals, even when the dose and the duration of exposure are the same. Research shows adults and children who spend more time outdoors participating in vigorous physical activities are at greater risk from the harmful health effects of O₃ exposure. While there are relatively few studies of O₃'s effects on children, the available studies show that children are no more or less likely to suffer harmful effects than adults. However, there are a number of reasons why children may be more susceptible to O₃ and other pollutants. Children and teens spend nearly twice as much time outdoors and engaged in vigorous activities as adults. Children breathe more rapidly than adults and inhale more pollution per pound of their body weight than adults. Also, children are less likely than adults to notice their own symptoms and avoid harmful exposures. Further research may be able to better distinguish between health effects in children and adults. Children, adolescents and adults who exercise or work outdoors, where O₃ concentrations are the highest, are at the greatest risk of harm from this pollutant (CARB 2019b).

Nitrogen Dioxide and Oxides of Nitrogen

NO₂ is a brownish, highly reactive gas that is present in all urban atmospheres. The major mechanism for the formation of NO₂ in the atmosphere is the oxidation of the primary air pollutant nitric oxide, which is a colorless, odorless gas. NO_x, which includes NO₂ and nitric oxide, plays a major role, together with ROG, in the atmospheric reactions that produce O₃. NO_x is formed from fuel combustion under high temperature or pressure. In addition, NO_x is an important precursor to acid rain and may affect both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems. The two major emissions sources of NO_x are transportation and stationary fuel combustion sources (such as electric utility and industrial boilers).

A large body of health science literature indicates that exposure to NO₂ can induce adverse health effects. The strongest health evidence, and the health basis for the ambient air quality standards (AAQS) for NO₂, results from controlled human exposure studies that show that NO₂ exposure can intensify responses to allergens in allergic asthmatics. In addition, a number of epidemiological studies have demonstrated associations between NO₂ exposure and premature death, cardiopulmonary effects, decreased lung function growth in children, respiratory symptoms, emergency room visits for asthma, and intensified allergic responses. Infants and children are particularly at risk because they have disproportionately higher exposure to NO₂ than adults due to their greater breathing rate for their body weight and their typically greater outdoor exposure duration. Several studies have shown that long-term NO₂ exposure during childhood, the period of rapid lung growth, can lead to smaller lungs at maturity in children with higher levels of exposure compared to children with lower exposure levels. In addition, children with asthma have a greater degree of airway responsiveness compared with adult asthmatics. In adults, the greatest risk is to people who have chronic respiratory diseases, such as asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (CARB 2019c).

Carbon Monoxide

CO is a colorless, odorless gas formed by the incomplete combustion of hydrocarbon, or fossil fuels. CO is emitted almost exclusively from motor vehicles, power plants, refineries, industrial boilers, ships, aircraft, and trains. In urban areas, automobile exhaust accounts for the majority of CO emissions. CO is a nonreactive air pollutant that dissipates relatively quickly; therefore, ambient CO concentrations generally follow the spatial and temporal distributions of vehicular traffic. CO concentrations are influenced by local meteorological conditions—primarily wind speed, topography, and atmospheric stability. CO from motor vehicle exhaust can become locally concentrated when surface-based temperature inversions are combined with calm atmospheric conditions, which is a typical situation at dusk in urban areas from November to February. The highest levels of CO typically occur during the colder months of the year, when inversion conditions are more frequent. Notably, because of continued improvement in vehicular emissions at a rate faster than the rate of vehicle growth and/or congestion, the potential for CO hotspots is steadily decreasing.

CO is harmful because it binds to hemoglobin in the blood, reducing the ability of blood to carry oxygen. This interferes with oxygen delivery to the body's organs. The most common effects of CO exposure are fatigue, headaches, confusion and reduced mental alertness, light-headedness, and dizziness due to inadequate oxygen delivery to the brain. For people with cardiovascular disease, short-term CO exposure can further reduce their body's already compromised ability to respond to the increased oxygen demands of exercise, exertion, or stress. Inadequate oxygen delivery to the heart muscle leads to chest pain and decreased exercise tolerance. Unborn babies whose mothers experience high levels of CO exposure during pregnancy are at risk of adverse developmental effects. Unborn babies, infants, elderly people, and people with anemia or with a history of heart or respiratory disease are most likely to experience health effects with exposure to elevated levels of CO (CARB 2019d).

Sulfur Dioxide

SO₂ is a colorless, pungent gas formed primarily from incomplete combustion of sulfur-containing fossil fuels. The main sources of SO₂ are coal and oil used in power plants and industries; as such, the highest levels of SO₂ are generally found near large industrial complexes. In recent years, SO₂ concentrations have been reduced by the increasingly stringent controls placed on stationary source emissions of SO₂ and limits on the sulfur content of fuels.

Controlled human exposure and epidemiological studies show that children and adults with asthma are more likely to experience adverse responses with SO₂ exposure, compared with the non-asthmatic population. Effects of 1-hour exposure at levels near the 1-hour standard are those of asthma exacerbation, including bronchoconstriction accompanied by symptoms of respiratory irritation such as wheezing, shortness of breath, and chest tightness, especially during exercise or physical activity. Also, exposure at elevated levels of SO₂ (above 1 part per million [ppm]) results in increased incidence of pulmonary symptoms and disease, decreased pulmonary function, and increased risk of mortality. The elderly and people with cardiovascular disease or chronic lung disease (such as bronchitis or emphysema) are most likely to experience these adverse effects (CARB 2019e).

SO₂ is of concern both because it is a direct respiratory irritant and because it contributes to the formation of sulfate and sulfuric acid in particulate matter (NRC 2005). People with asthma are of particular concern, both because they have increased baseline airflow resistance and because their SO₂-induced increase in airflow

12287.04

resistance is greater than in healthy people, and it increases with the severity of their asthma (National Research Council of the National Academies [NRC] 2005). SO₂ is thought to induce airway constriction via neural reflexes involving irritant receptors in the airways (NRC 2005).

Particulate Matter

Particulate matter pollution consists of very small liquid and solid particles floating in the air, which can include smoke, soot, dust, salts, acids, and metals. Particulate matter can form when gases emitted from industries and motor vehicles undergo chemical reactions in the atmosphere. $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} represent fractions of particulate matter. Coarse particulate matter (PM_{10}) is about 1/7 the thickness of a human hair. Major sources of PM_{10} include crushing or grinding operations; dust stirred up by vehicles traveling on roads; wood-burning stoves and fireplaces; dust from construction, landfills, and agriculture; wildfires and brush/waste burning; industrial sources; windblown dust from open lands; and atmospheric chemical and photochemical reactions. Fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) is roughly 1/28 the diameter of a human hair. $PM_{2.5}$ results from fuel combustion (e.g., from motor vehicles and power generation and industrial facilities), residential fireplaces, and woodstoves. In addition, $PM_{2.5}$ can be formed in the atmosphere from gases such as sulfur oxides, NO_x , and ROG.

PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ pose a greater health risk than larger-size particles. When inhaled, these tiny particles can penetrate the human respiratory system's natural defenses and damage the respiratory tract. PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀ can increase the number and severity of asthma attacks, cause or aggravate bronchitis and other lung diseases, and reduce the body's ability to fight infections. Very small particles of substances such as lead, sulfates, and nitrates can cause lung damage directly or be absorbed into the blood stream, causing damage elsewhere in the body. Additionally, these substances can transport adsorbed gases such as chlorides or ammonium into the lungs, also causing injury. PM₁₀ tends to collect in the upper portion of the respiratory system, whereas PM_{2.5} is small enough to penetrate deeper into the lungs and damage lung tissue. Suspended particulates also produce haze and reduce regional visibility and damage and discolor surfaces on which they settle.

A number of adverse health effects have been associated with exposure to both PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀. For PM_{2.5}, short-term exposures (up to 24-hour duration) have been associated with premature mortality, increased hospital admissions for heart or lung causes, acute and chronic bronchitis, asthma attacks, emergency room visits, respiratory symptoms, and restricted activity days.³ These adverse health effects have been reported primarily in infants, children, and older adults with preexisting heart or lung diseases. In addition, of all of the common air pollutants, PM_{2.5} is associated with the greatest proportion of adverse health effects related to air pollution, both in the United States and worldwide based on the World Health Organization's Global Burden of Disease Project. Short-term exposures to PM₁₀ have been associated primarily with worsening of respiratory diseases, including asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, leading to hospitalization and emergency department visits (CARB 2017).

Long-term exposure (months to years) to PM_{2.5} has been linked to premature death, particularly in people who have chronic heart or lung diseases, and reduced lung function growth in children. The effects of long-term exposure to PM₁₀ are less clear, although several studies suggest a link between long-term PM₁₀ exposure and

^{3 &}quot;Restricted activity days" are days that an individual adjusts behavior based on health reasons, such as a work-loss or school-loss day.

respiratory mortality. The International Agency for Research on Cancer published a review in 2015 that concluded that particulate matter in outdoor air pollution causes lung cancer (CARB 2017).

Lead

Lead in the atmosphere occurs as particulate matter. Sources of lead include leaded gasoline; the manufacturing of batteries, paints, ink, ceramics, and ammunition; and secondary lead smelters. Prior to 1978, mobile emissions were the primary source of atmospheric lead. Between 1978 and 1987, the phase out of leaded gasoline reduced the overall inventory of airborne lead by nearly 95%. With the phase-out of leaded gasoline, secondary lead smelters, battery recycling, and manufacturing facilities are becoming leademissions sources of greater concern.

Prolonged exposure to atmospheric lead poses a serious threat to human health. Health effects associated with exposure to lead include gastrointestinal disturbances, anemia, kidney disease, and, in severe cases, neuromuscular and neurological dysfunction. Of particular concern are low-level lead exposures during infancy and childhood, because children are highly susceptible to the effects of lead. Such exposures are associated with decrements in neurobehavioral performance, including intelligence quotient performance, psychomotor performance, reaction time, and growth.

Sulfates

Sulfates are the fully oxidized form of sulfur, which typically occur in combination with metals or hydrogen ions. Sulfates are produced from reactions of SO₂ in the atmosphere and can result in respiratory impairment, as well as reduced visibility.

Vinyl Chloride

Vinyl chloride is a colorless gas with a mild, sweet odor, which has been detected near landfills, sewage plants, and hazardous waste sites, due to the microbial breakdown of chlorinated solvents. Short-term exposure to high levels of vinyl chloride in air can cause nervous system effects, such as dizziness, drowsiness, and headaches. Long-term exposure through inhalation can cause liver damage, including liver cancer.

Hydrogen Sulfide

Hydrogen sulfide is a colorless and flammable gas that has a characteristic odor of rotten eggs. Sources of hydrogen sulfide include geothermal power plants, petroleum refineries, sewers, and sewage treatment plants. Exposure to hydrogen sulfide can result in nuisance odors, as well as headaches and breathing difficulties at higher concentrations.

Visibility-Reducing Particles

Visibility-reducing particles are any particles in the air that obstruct the range of visibility. Effects of reduced visibility can include obscuring the viewshed of natural scenery, reducing airport safety, and discouraging tourism. Sources of visibility-reducing particles are the same as for PM_{2.5} described above.

Reactive Organic Gases

Hydrocarbons are organic gases that are formed from hydrogen and carbon and sometimes other elements. Hydrocarbons that contribute to formation of O₃ are referred to and regulated as ROGs (also referred to as VOCs). Combustion engine exhaust, oil refineries, and fossil-fueled power plants are the sources of hydrocarbons. Other sources of hydrocarbons include evaporation from petroleum fuels, solvents, dry cleaning solutions, and paint.

The primary health effects of ROGs result from the formation of O₃ and its related health effects. High levels of ROGs in the atmosphere can interfere with oxygen intake by reducing the amount of available oxygen through displacement. Carcinogenic forms of hydrocarbons, such as benzene, are considered TACs. There are no separate health standards for ROGs as a group.

Non-Criteria Air Pollutants

Toxic Air Contaminants

A substance is considered toxic if it has the potential to cause adverse health effects in humans, including increasing the risk of cancer upon exposure, or acute and/or chronic non-cancer health effects. A toxic substance released into the air is considered a TAC. TACs are identified by federal and state agencies based on a review of available scientific evidence. In the State of California, TACs are identified through a two-step process that was established in 1983 under the Toxic Air Contaminant Identification and Control Act. This two-step process of risk identification and risk management and reduction was designed to protect residents from the health effects of toxic substances in the air. In addition, the California Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act, Assembly Bill (AB) 2588, was enacted by the California State Legislature (Legislature) in 1987 to address public concern over the release of TACs into the atmosphere. The law requires facilities emitting toxic substances to provide local air pollution control districts with information that will allow an assessment of the air toxics problem, identification of air toxics emissions sources, location of resulting hotspots, notification of the public exposed to significant risk, and development of effective strategies to reduce potential risks to the public over 5 years.

Examples of TACs include certain aromatic and chlorinated hydrocarbons, certain metals, and asbestos. TACs are generated by a number of sources, including stationary sources, such as dry cleaners, gas stations, combustion sources, and laboratories; mobile sources, such as automobiles; and area sources, such as landfills. Adverse health effects associated with exposure to TACs may include carcinogenic (i.e., cancercausing) and noncarcinogenic effects. Noncarcinogenic effects typically affect one or more target organ systems and may be experienced with either short-term (acute) or long-term (chronic) exposure to a given TAC.

Diesel Particulate Matter

Diesel particulate matter (DPM) is part of a complex mixture that makes up diesel exhaust. Diesel exhaust is composed of two phases, gas and particle, both of which contribute to health risks. More than 90% of DPM is less than 1 micrometer in diameter (about 1/70th the diameter of a human hair), and thus is a subset of PM_{2.5} (CARB 2019f). DPM is typically composed of carbon particles ("soot," also called black carbon) and numerous organic compounds, including over 40 known carcinogenic organic substances. Examples of these chemicals include polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, benzene, formaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acrolein, and 1,3-butadiene

(CARB 2019d). CARB classified "particulate emissions from diesel-fueled engines" (i.e., DPM) (17 California Code of Regulations [CCR] Section 93000) as a TAC in August 1998. DPM is emitted from a broad range of diesel engines: on-road diesel engines of trucks, buses, and cars; and off-road diesel engines including locomotives, marine vessels, and heavy-duty construction equipment, among others. Approximately 70% of all airborne cancer risk in California is associated with DPM (CARB 2000). To reduce the cancer risk associated with DPM, CARB adopted a diesel risk reduction plan in 2000 (CARB 2000). Because it is part of PM2.5, DPM also contributes to the same non-cancer health effects as PM2.5 exposure. These effects include premature death; hospitalizations and emergency department visits for exacerbated chronic heart and lung disease, including asthma; increased respiratory symptoms; and decreased lung function in children. Several studies suggest that exposure to DPM may also facilitate development of new allergies (CARB 2019f). Those most vulnerable to non-cancer health effects are children, whose lungs are still developing, and the elderly, who often have chronic health problems.

Odorous Compounds

Odors are generally regarded as an annoyance rather than a health hazard. Manifestations of a person's reaction to odors can range from psychological (e.g., irritation, anger, or anxiety) to physiological (e.g., circulatory and respiratory effects, nausea, vomiting, and headache). The ability to detect odors varies considerably among the population and overall is quite subjective. People may have different reactions to the same odor. An odor that is offensive to one person may be perfectly acceptable to another (e.g., coffee roaster). An unfamiliar odor is more easily detected and is more likely to cause complaints than a familiar one. In a phenomenon known as odor fatigue, a person can become desensitized to almost any odor, and recognition may only occur with an alteration in the intensity. The occurrence and severity of odor impacts depend on the nature, frequency, and intensity of the source; wind speed and direction; and the sensitivity of receptors.

4.2.1.3 Sensitive Receptors

Some land uses are considered more sensitive to changes in air quality than others, depending on the population groups and the activities involved. People most likely to be affected by air pollution include children, the elderly, athletes, and people with cardiovascular and chronic respiratory diseases. The term "sensitive receptors" is used to refer to facilities and structures where people who are sensitive to air pollution live or spend considerable amounts of time. Land uses where air pollution-sensitive individuals are most likely to spend time include schools and schoolyards (i.e., preschools and kindergarten through grade 12 schools), parks and playgrounds, daycare centers, nursing homes, hospitals, live in housing (i.e., prisons, dormitories, hospices, or similar), and residential communities (sensitive sites or sensitive land uses) (CARB 2005; MBARD 2008).

Sensitive receptors, predominantly residential uses, are located immediately adjacent to or within close proximity to the Proposed Project pipe sections. Figure 4.10-1 in Section 4.10, Noise and Vibration, shows location of sensitive receptors near the Proposed Project pipe alignments. The Proposed Project alignment traverses several residential neighborhoods and business districts, including those within Ben Lomond and Felton, and residential areas along Graham Hill Road as shown on Figure 4.10-1 in Section 4.10, Noise and Vibration. Several schools and a day care facility are within 0.25 mile of the Project alignment as shown on Figure 4.10-1. The Mountain Monsters Daycare is approximately 0.1 mile east of the proposed alignment. San Lorenzo Valley Elementary School, San Lorenzo Valley Middle School, and San Lorenzo Valley High School are approximately 0.2 mile west of the proposed pipeline alignment in Felton. The Santa Cruz County Juvenile Hall

and Community School is immediately northeast of the pipeline route, along Graham Hill Road, southeast of Felton, and Brook Knoll Elementary School is approximately 0.2 mile east of the pipeline route, along the southern portion of Graham Hill Road.

4.2.1.4 Regional and Local Air Quality Conditions

North Central Coast Air Basin Attainment Designations

Pursuant to the 1990 federal Clean Air Act amendments, the EPA classifies air basins (or portions thereof) as "attainment" or "nonattainment" for each criteria air pollutant, based on whether the NAAQS have been achieved. Generally, if the recorded concentrations of a pollutant are lower than the standard, the area is classified as attainment for that pollutant. If an area exceeds the standard, the area is classified as nonattainment for that pollutant. If there is not enough data available to determine whether the standard is exceeded in an area, the area is designated as "unclassified" or "unclassifiable." The designation of "unclassifiable/attainment" means that the area meets the standard or is expected to meet the standard despite a lack of monitoring data. Areas that achieve the standards after a nonattainment designation are redesignated as maintenance areas and must have approved maintenance plans to ensure continued attainment of the standards. Similar to the federal Clean Air Act, the California Clean Air Act designated areas as attainment or nonattainment, but based on CAAQS rather than the NAAQS.

Error! Reference source not found. identifies the current attainment status of the Air Basin, including the Proposed Project area, with respect to the NAAQS and CAAQS, and the attainment classifications for the criteria pollutants. The Air Basin is designated as a non-attainment area for the state O₃ and PM₁₀ standards. The Air Basin is designated as unclassified or attainment for all other state and federal standards (EPA 2020; CARB 2020). Since the Air Basin has met all NAAQS, it is no longer subject to federal conformity requirements (MBARD 2008).

Local Ambient Air Quality

CARB, air districts, and other agencies monitor ambient air quality at approximately 250 air quality monitoring stations across California. Air quality monitoring stations usually measure pollutant concentrations 10 feet above ground level; therefore, air quality is often referred to in terms of ground-level concentrations. Table 4.2-3 presents the most recent background ambient air quality data from 2018 to 2020. The San Lorenzo Valley Middle School monitoring station, located at 7179 Hacienda Way, Felton, California, is the nearest air quality monitoring station to the project area. This station monitors PM_{2.5}. The nearest station that monitors O₃ is the Santa Cruz monitoring station, located at 2544 Soquel Avenue, Santa Cruz, California. The nearest station that monitors CO and NO₂ in the Air Basin is located at 855 E Laurel Drive, Salinas, California. The nearest station that monitors PM₁₀ in the Air Basin is located at 1979 Fairview Road, Hollister, California. The data collected at these stations is considered generally representative of the air quality experienced in the vicinity of the Proposed Project. This data is shown in Error! Reference source not found. and includes the number of days that the ambient air quality standards were exceeded.

Table 4.2-1. North Central Coast Air Basin Attainment Classification

Pollutant	Averaging Time	Designation/Classification			
National Standards					
03	8 hours	Unclassifiable/Attainment			
NO ₂	1 hour, annual arithmetic mean	Unclassifiable/Attainment			
CO	1 hour; 8 hours	Unclassifiable/Attainment			
SO ₂	24 hours; annual arithmetic mean	Unclassifiable/Attainment			
PM ₁₀	24 hours	Unclassifiable/Attainment			
PM2.5	24 hours; annual arithmetic mean	Unclassifiable/Attainment			
Lead	Quarter; 3-month average	Unclassifiable/Attainment			
California Standards					
Оз	1 hour; 8 hours	Nonattainment-Transitional			
NO ₂	1 hour; annual arithmetic mean	Attainment			
CO	1 hour; 8 hours	Attainment			
SO ₂	1 hour; 24 hours	Attainment			
PM ₁₀	24 hours; annual arithmetic mean	Nonattainment			
PM _{2.5}	Annual arithmetic mean	Attainment			
Lead	30-day average	Attainment			
SO ₄	24 hours	Attainment			
H ₂ S	1 hour	Unclassified			
Vinyl chloride	24 hours	No designation			
Visibility-reducing particles	8 hours (10:00 a.m6:00 p.m.)	Unclassified			

Sources: CARB 2020 (California); EPA 2020 (national).

Notes: O_3 = ozone; NO_2 = nitrogen dioxide; CO = carbon monoxide; SO_2 = sulfur dioxide; PM_{10} = coarse particulate matter; $PM_{2.5}$ = fine particulate matter; SO_4 = sulfates; H_2S = hydrogen sulfide.

Table 4.2-2. Local Ambient Air Quality Data

Averaging Time	Ambient Air Quality Standard	Measured Concentration and Exceedances by Year			
	Standard	2018	2019	2020	
Ozone (O₃) – Santa Cruz Mo	onitoring Station				
Maximum 1-hour 0.09 ppm (state) concentration (ppm)		0.075	0.068	0.070	
Number of days exceeding si	0	0	0		
Maximum 8-hour	0.070 ppm (state)	0.061	0.059	0.058	
concentration (ppm)	0.070 ppm (federal)	0.061	0.059	0.057	
Number of days exceeding st	tate standard (days)	0	0	0	
Number of days exceeding fe	ederal standard (days)	0	0	0	
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO2) – Sa	linas Monitoring Station				
Maximum 1-hour	0.18 ppm (state)	0.047	0.030	0.032	
concentration (ppm)	0.100 ppm (federal)	0.047	0.030	0.032	
Number of days exceeding si	tate standard (days)	0	0	0	
Number of days exceeding fe	ederal standard (days)	0	0	0	
Annual concentration (ppm)	0.030 ppm (state)	0.005	0.004	0.004	
	0.053 ppm (federal)	0.005	0.004	0.004	
Carbon Monoxide (CO) – Sa	linas Monitoring Station				
Maximum 1-hour	20 ppm (state)	3.5	35	1.6	
concentration (ppm)	35 ppm (federal)	3.5	35	1.6	
Number of days exceeding s	0	ND	0		
Number of days exceeding fe		0	0	0	
Maximum 8-hour	9.0 ppm (state)	1.2	5.3	1.2	
concentration (ppm)	9 ppm (federal)	1.2	5.3	1.2	
Number of days exceeding si	tate standard (days)	0	0	0	
Number of days exceeding fe	0	0	0		
Fine Particulate Matter (PM	2.5) – San Lorenzo Valley M	iddle School Moni	itoring Station		
Maximum 24-hour concentration (µg/m³)	35 μg/m³ (federal)	84.4	16.8	387.8	
Number of days exceeding fe	ederal standard ^a	6.5 (6)	0.0	19.5	
Annual concentration	12 µg/m³ (state)	(6) 6.6	(0) 4.7	(19) 11.2	
(μg/m ³)	12.0 µg/m³ (federal)	6.5	4.7	10.9	
Coarse Particulate Matter (I			7.7	10.3	
·	-	ND	ND I	ND	
Maximum 24-hour concentration (µg/m³)	50 µg/m³ (state) 150 µg/m³ (federal)	95.9	130.7	159.0	
		95.9 ND	ND	159.0 	
Number of days exceeding si		0.0	0.0	1.0	
Number of days exceeding fe	cuciai Stanuaruª	(O)	(O)	(1)	
Annual concentration (state 20 µg/m³ (state) method) (µg/m³)		ND	ND	ND	

Sources: CARB 2021; EPA 2021.

Notes: ppm = parts per million; μ g/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter; ND = insufficient data available to determine the value.

Table 4.2-2. Local Ambient Air Quality Data

Averaging Time	Ambient Air Quality Standard	Measured Concentration and Exceedances by Year			
		2018	2019	2020	

Data taken from CARB iADAM (http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam) and EPA AirData (http://www.epa.gov/airdata/) represent the highest concentrations experienced over a given year.

Exceedances of national and California standards are only shown for O_3 and particulate matter. Daily exceedances for particulate matter are estimated days because PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ are not monitored daily. All other criteria pollutants did not exceed national or California standards during the years shown. There is no national standard for 1-hour ozone, annual PM_{10} , or 24-hour SO_2 , nor is there a state 24-hour standard for $PM_{2.5}$.

San Lorenzo Valley Middle School Monitoring Station is located at 7179 Hacienda Way, Felton, 95018.

Santa Cruz Monitoring Station is located at 2544 Soquel Avenue, Santa Cruz CA 95060.

Salinas Monitoring Station is located at 855 E Laurel Drive, Salinas, 93901.

Hollister Monitoring Station is located at 1979 Fairview Road, Hollister, 95023.

Measurements of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} are usually collected every 6 days and every 1 to 3 days, respectively. Number of days exceeding the standards is a mathematical estimate of the number of days concentrations would have been greater than the level of the standard had each day been monitored. The numbers in parentheses are the measured number of samples that exceeded the standard. Notably, the California PM_{2.5} standard is based on annual concentrations and does not have daily exceedance information.

4.2.2 Regulatory Framework

4.2.2.1 Federal

Criteria Air Pollutants

The federal Clean Air Act, passed in 1970 and last amended in 1990, forms the basis for the national air pollution control effort. The EPA is responsible for implementing most aspects of the Clean Air Act, including setting National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for major air pollutants; setting hazardous air pollutant (HAP) standards; approving state attainment plans; setting motor vehicle emission standards; issuing stationary source emission standards and permits; and establishing acid rain control measures, stratospheric O₃ protection measures, and enforcement provisions. Under the Clean Air Act, NAAQS are established for the following criteria pollutants: O₃, CO, NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and lead.

The NAAQS describe acceptable air quality conditions designed to protect the health and welfare of the citizens of the nation. The NAAQS (other than for O₃, NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and those based on annual averages or arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once per year. NAAQS for O₃, NO₂, SO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} are based on statistical calculations over 1- to 3-year periods, depending on the pollutant. The Clean Air Act requires the EPA to reassess the NAAQS at least every 5 years to determine whether adopted standards are adequate to protect public health based on current scientific evidence. States with areas that exceed the NAAQS must prepare a state implementation plan that demonstrates how those areas will attain the standards within mandated time frames.

Hazardous Air Pollutants

The 1977 federal Clean Air Act amendments required the EPA to identify National Emission Standards for HAPs to protect public health and welfare. HAPs include certain VOCs, pesticides, herbicides, and radionuclides that present a tangible hazard, based on scientific studies of exposure to humans and other mammals. Under the

1990 federal Clean Air Act Amendments, which expanded the control program for HAPs, 189 substances and chemical families were identified as HAPs.

4.2.2.2 State

Criteria Air Pollutants

The federal Clean Air Act delegates the regulation of air pollution control and the enforcement of the NAAQS to the states. In California, the task of air quality management and regulation has been legislatively granted to CARB, with subsidiary responsibilities assigned to air quality management districts and air pollution control districts at the regional and county levels. CARB, which became part of the California Environmental Protection Agency in 1991, is responsible for ensuring implementation of the California Clean Air Act of 1988, responding to the federal Clean Air Act, and regulating emissions from motor vehicles and consumer products.

CARB has established California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS), which are generally more restrictive than the NAAQS. As stated previously, an ambient air quality standard defines the maximum amount of a pollutant averaged over a specified period of time that can be present in outdoor air without harm to the public's health. For each pollutant, concentrations must be below the relevant CAAQS before an air basin can attain the corresponding CAAQS. Air quality is considered in attainment if pollutant levels are continuously below the CAAQS and violate the standards no more than once each year. The CAAQS for O₃, CO, SO₂ (1-hour and 24-hour), NO₂, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5} and visibility-reducing particles are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded.

California air districts typically based their thresholds of significance for CEQA purposes on the levels that scientific and factual data demonstrate that the air basin can accommodate without affecting the attainment date when attainment will be achieved in the Air Basin for the NAAQS or CAAQS. Thresholds established by air districts are protective of human health, as they are based on attainment of the ambient air quality standards, which reflect the maximum pollutant levels in the outdoor air that would not result in harm to the public's health. Table 4.2-3 presents the NAAQS and CAAQS.

Table 4.2-3. Ambient Air Quality Standards

Dellutent	Averaging	California Standards ^a	National Standards ^b			
Pollutant	Time	Concentrations	Primary ^{c.d}	Secondary ^{c,e}		
Оз	1 hour	0.09 ppm (180 μg/m ³	_	Same as Primary ^f		
	8 hours	0.070 ppm (137 μg/m³)	0.070 ppm (137 µg/m³) ^f			
NO ₂	1 hour	0.18 ppm (339 µg/m³)	0.100 ppm (137 µg/m³)	Same as Primary Standard		
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.030 ppm (57 μg/m ³)	0.053 ppm (100 µg/m³)			
CO	1 hour	20 ppm (23 mg/m ³)	35 ppm (40 mg/m ³)	None		
	8 hours	9.0 ppm (10 mg/m ³)	9 ppm (10 mg/m ³)			
SO ₂	1 hour	0.25 ppm (655 µg/m³)	0.075 ppm (196 µg/m³) ^h	_		
	3 hours	_	_	0.5 ppm (1,300 µg/m³)		
	24 hours	0.04 ppm (105 µg/m ³)	0.14 ppm (for certain areas) ^g	_		
	Annual	_	0.030 ppm (for certain areas) ^g	_		
PM ₁₀	24 hours	50 μg/m ³	150 µg/m ³	Same as Primary		
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 μg/m ³	_	Standard		
PM _{2.5}	24 hours	_	35 μg/m ³	Same as Primary Standard		
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m³	12.0 µg/m³i	15.0 μg/m ³		
Lead	30-day Average	1.5 μg/m ³	_			
	Calendar Quarter	_	1.5 µg/m³ (for certain areas) ^k			
	Rolling 3-Month Average	_	0.15 μg/m ³			
Hydrogen sulfide	1 hour	0.03 ppm (42 μg/m ³)	_	_		
Vinyl Chloride	24 hours	0.01 ppm (26 µg/m ³) ^j	_	_		
Sulfates	24 hours	25 μg/m ³		_		
Visibility	8 hour (10:00	Insufficient amount to	_	•		
reducing	a.m. to 6:00	produce an extinction				
particles	p.m. PST)	coefficient of 0.23 per				
		kilometer due to				
		particles when the				
		relative humidity is less than 70%				

Source: CARB 2016.

Notes: ppm = parts per million by volume; µg/m³ = micrograms per cubic meter; mg/m³ = milligrams per cubic meter.

California standards for O₃, CO, SO₂ (1-hour and 24-hour), NO₂, suspended particulate matter—PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and visibility-reducing particles, are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equaled or exceeded. CAAQS are listed in the Table of Standards in 17 CCR Section 70200.

Table 4.2-3. Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging	California Standardsa	National Standards ^b		
	Time	Concentrations	Primary ^{c.d}	Secondary ^{c,e}	

- ^b National standards (other than O₃, NO₂, SO₂, particulate matter, and those based on annual averages or annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The O₃ standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over 3 years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM₁₀, the 24-hour standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 μg/m³ is equal to or less than one. For PM_{2.5}, the 24-hour standard is attained when 98% of the daily concentrations, averaged over 3 years, are equal to or less than the standard.
- ^c Concentration expressed first in units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based upon a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr. Most measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr; ppm in this table refers to ppm by volume, or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.
- d National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety to protect the public health.
- National Secondary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant.
- f On October 1, 2015, the primary and secondary NAAQS for O₃ were lowered from 0.075 ppm to 0.070 ppm.
- To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 100 parts per billion (ppb). Note that the national 1-hour standard is in units of ppb. California standards are in units of ppm. To directly compare the national 1-hour standard to the California standards the units can be converted from ppb to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 100 ppb is identical to 0.100 ppm.
- On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO₂ standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 75 ppb. The 1971 SO₂ national standards (24-hour and annual) remain in effect until 1 year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except that in areas designated non-attainment of the 1971 standards, the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standards are approved.
- On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM_{2.5} primary standard was lowered from 15 μg/m³ to 12.0 μg/m³. The existing national 24-hour PM_{2.5} standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 μg/m³, as was the annual secondary standard of 15 μg/m³. The existing 24-hour PM₁₀ standards (primary and secondary) of 150 μg/m³ also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.
- ^j CARB has identified lead and vinyl chloride as TACs with no threshold level of exposure for adverse health effects determined. These actions allow for the implementation of control measures at levels below the ambient concentrations specified for these pollutants.
- The national standard for lead was revised on October 15, 2008, to a rolling 3-month average. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 µg/m³ as a quarterly average) remains in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated non-attainment for the 1978 standard, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standard are approved.

Toxic Air Contaminants

The state Air Toxics Program was established in 1983 under AB 1807 (Tanner). The California TAC list identifies more than 700 pollutants, of which carcinogenic and noncarcinogenic toxicity criteria have been established for a subset of these pollutants pursuant to the California Health and Safety Code. In accordance with AB 2728, the state list includes the (federal) HAPs. In 1987, the Legislature enacted the Air Toxics "Hot Spots" Information and Assessment Act of 1987 (AB 2588) to address public concern over the release of TACs into the atmosphere. AB 2588 law requires facilities emitting toxic substances to provide local air pollution control districts with information that will allow an assessment of the air toxics problem, identification of air toxics emissions sources, location of resulting hotspots, notification of the public exposed to significant risk, and development of effective strategies to reduce potential risks to the public over 5 years. TAC emissions from individual facilities are quantified and prioritized. "High-priority" facilities are required to perform a health risk assessment, and if specific thresholds are exceeded, the facility operator is required to communicate the results to the public in the form of notices and public meetings.

In 2000, CARB approved a comprehensive Diesel Risk Reduction Plan to reduce diesel emissions from both new and existing diesel-fueled vehicles and engines (CARB 2000). The regulation is anticipated to result in an 80-percent decrease in statewide diesel health risk in 2020 compared with the diesel risk in 2000. Additional regulations apply to new trucks and diesel fuel, including the On-Road Heavy Duty Diesel Vehicle (In-Use) Regulation, the On-Road Heavy Duty (New) Vehicle Program, the In Use Off-Road Diesel Vehicle Regulation, and the New Off-Road Compression-Ignition (Diesel) Engines and Equipment Program. These regulations and programs have timetables by which manufacturers must comply and existing operators must upgrade their diesel-powered equipment. There are several airborne toxic control measures that reduce diesel emissions, including In-Use Off-Road Diesel-Fueled Fleets (13 CCR Section 2449 et seq.), In-Use On-Road Diesel-Fueled Vehicles (13 CCR Section 2025), and Limit Diesel-Fueled Commercial Motor Vehicle Idling (13 CCR Section 2485).

California Health and Safety Code Section 41700

Section 41700 of the Health and Safety Code states that a person shall not discharge from any source whatsoever quantities of air contaminants or other material that cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public; or that endanger the comfort, repose, health, or safety of any of those persons or the public; or that cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property (Health and Safety Code Section 41700). This section also applies to sources of objectionable odors.

4.2.2.2 Regional

Monterey Bay Air Resources District

The Monterey Bay Air Resources District (MBARD) is the regional agency responsible for the regulation and enforcement of federal, state, and local air pollution control regulations in the Air Basin, where the Proposed Project is located. The MBARD operates monitoring stations in the Air Basin, develops rules and regulations for stationary sources and equipment, prepares emissions inventory and air quality management planning documents, and conducts source testing and inspections. The MBARD's Air Quality Management Plans (AQMPs) include control measures and strategies to be implemented to attain CAAQS and NAAQS in the Air Basin. The MBARD then implements these control measures as regulations to control or reduce criteria pollutant emissions from stationary sources or equipment.

Air Quality Management Plan

The 1991 AQMP for the Monterey Bay Area was the first plan prepared in response to the California Clean Air Act of 1988, which established specific planning requirements to meet the O_3 standard. The California Clean Air Act requires that the AQMP be updated every 3 years. The most recent update is the 2012-2015 Air Quality Management Plan (2012-2015 AQMP), which was adopted in March 2017, and is an update to the elements included in the 2012 AQMP. The primary elements updated from the 2012 AQMP are the air quality trends analysis, emission inventory, and mobile source programs.

The Air Basin is a nonattainment area for the CAAQS for both O₃ and PM₁₀. The AQMP addresses only attainment of the O₃ CAAQS. Attainment of the PM₁₀ CAAQS is addressed in the MBARD's 2005 Report on Attainment of the California Particulate Matter Standards in the Monterey Bay Region (Particulate Matter

Plan), which was adopted in December 2005 and is summarized further below. Maintenance of the 8-hour NAAQS for O₃ is addressed in MBARD's 2007 Federal Maintenance Plan for Maintaining the National Ozone Standard in the Monterey Bay Region (Federal Maintenance Plan), which was adopted in March 2007 and is also summarized below.

A review of the air monitoring data for 2013 through 2015, from the most recent AQMP, indicates that there were fewer exceedance days of O_3 compared to previous periods (MBARD 2017). The long-term trend shows that progress has been made toward achieving O_3 standards. The number of exceedance days has continued to decline during the past 10 years despite population increases. The MBARD's 2012–2015 AQMP identifies a continued trend of declining O_3 emissions in the Air Basin primarily related to lowered vehicles miles traveled (VMT). Therefore, the MBARD determined progress was continuing to be made toward attaining the 8-hour O_3 standard during the three-year period reviewed (MBARD 2017).

Federal Maintenance Plan

The Federal Maintenance Plan (May 2007) presents the strategy for maintaining the NAAQS for O₃ in the Air Basin. It is an update to an earlier maintenance plan (1994) that was prepared for maintaining the 1-hour NAAQS for O₃ and has since been revoked and superseded by the current 8-hour O₃ standard. Effective June 15, 2004, the EPA designated the Air Basin as an attainment area for the 8-hour NAAQS for O₃. The plan includes an emission inventory for the years 1990 to 2030 for ROG and NO_x, the two primary O₃ precursor gases. A contingency plan is included to ensure that any future violation of the standard is promptly corrected (MBARD 2007).

Particulate Matter Plan

The purpose of the Particulate Matter Plan (December 2005) is to fulfill the requirements of Senate Bill 655, which was approved by the Legislature in 2003 with the objective of reducing public exposure to particulate matter. The legislation requires CARB, in conjunction with local air pollution control districts, to adopt a list of the most readily available, feasible, and cost-effective control measures that could be implemented by air pollution control districts to reduce ambient levels of particulate matter in their air basins (MBARD 2005). The Particulate Matter Plan's proposed activities include control measures for fugitive dust, public education, administrative functions, and continued enhancements to the MBARD's smoke management and emission-reduction incentive programs.

Rules and Regulations

The MBARD establishes and administers a program of rules and regulations to attain and maintain state and national air quality standards and regulations related to TACs. Rules and regulations that may apply to the Proposed Project include the following:

- Regulation IV (Prohibitions), Rule 400 (Visible Emissions). This rule provides limits for visible emissions for sources within the MBARD jurisdiction.
- Regulation IV (Prohibitions), Rule 402 (Nuisances). This rule establishes a prohibition against sources creating public nuisances while operating within the MBARD jurisdiction.
- Regulation IV (Prohibitions), Rule 403 (Particulate Matter). This rule provides particulate matter emissions limits for sources operating within the MBARD jurisdiction.

- Regulation IV (Prohibitions), Rule 424 (National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutions). This
 rule is to provide clarity on the MBARD's enforcement authority for the National Emission Standards
 for Hazardous Air Pollution including asbestos from demolition.
- Regulation IV (Prohibitions), Rule 425 (Use of Cutback Asphalt). This rule establishes VOC emissions limits associated with the use of cutback and emulsified asphalts.

4.2.3 Impacts and Mitigation Measures

This section contains the evaluation of potential environmental impacts associated with the Proposed Project related to air quality. The section identifies the thresholds of significance used in evaluating the impacts, describes the methods used in conducting the analysis, and evaluates the Proposed Project's impacts and contribution to significant cumulative impacts, if any are identified. Mitigation measures are presented for identified significant or potentially significant impacts, and the level of significance with mitigation also is identified.

4.2.3.1 Thresholds of Significance

The thresholds of significance used to evaluate the impacts of the Proposed Project related to air quality are based on Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines and the City of Santa Cruz CEQA Guidelines. A significant impact would occur if the Proposed Project would:

- A. Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan.
- B. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard.
- C. Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations.
- D. Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people.

The MBARD has established thresholds of significance for criteria air pollutants of concern for construction and operations (MBARD 2008). For construction, the threshold is 82 pounds per day of PM₁₀. Construction projects using typical construction equipment such as dump trucks, scrappers, bulldozers, compactors and front-end loaders that temporarily emit other air pollutants, such as precursors of O₃ (i.e., ROG and NO_x), are accommodated in the emission inventories of State- and federally-required air plans and would not have a significant impact on the AAQS (MBARD 2008).

For operations, a project would result in a significant impact if it results in the generation of emissions of or in excess of 137 pounds per day for ROG or NO $_x$, 550 pounds per day of CO, 150 pounds per day of sulfur oxides (SO $_x$), and 82 pounds per day of PM $_{10}$ from on-site sources (MBARD 2008). As stated above, the Air Basin met all NAAQS. As a result, it is no longer subject to federal conformity requirements (MBARD 2008).

Consistency with the AQMP is used by MBARD to determine a project's cumulative impact on regional air quality (i.e., ozone levels). Projects which are not consistent with the AQMP have not been accommodated in the AQMP and will have a significant cumulative impact on regional air quality unless emissions are totally offset (MBARD 2008). For localized impacts of the Proposed Project (i.e., PM10), the threshold for cumulative impacts is the same as that noted above (82 pounds per day of PM10). The localized impacts related to CO hotspots and

MBARD's associated thresholds are not applicable, as the Proposed Project would not generate a net increase in operational traffic.

Health effects from carcinogenic air toxics are usually described in terms of cancer risk. The MBARD recommends an incremental cancer risk threshold of 10 in 1 million. "Incremental cancer risk" is the net increased likelihood that a person continuously exposed to concentrations of TACs resulting from a project over a 9-, 30-, and 70-year exposure period will contract cancer based on the use of standard Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment risk-assessment methodology. In addition, some TACs have noncarcinogenic effects. The MBARD recommends a Hazard Index of 1 or more for acute (short-term) and chronic (long-term) effects.4

Analytical Methods 4.2.3.2

This section evaluates the potential impacts to air quality associated with construction and operation of the Proposed Project.

Construction

Proposed construction activities would result in the temporary addition of pollutants to the local airshed caused by on-site sources (i.e., off-road construction equipment and soil disturbance) and off-site sources (i.e., onroad haul trucks, delivery trucks, and worker vehicle trips). Construction emissions can vary substantially from day to day, depending on the level of activity; the specific type of operation; and, for dust, the prevailing weather conditions. Therefore, emission levels can only be approximately estimated with a corresponding uncertainty in precise ambient air quality impacts.

The California Emissions Estimator Model (CalEEMod) Version 2020.4.0 was used to estimate emissions generated during construction of each project pipeline section. CalEEMod is a statewide computer model developed in cooperation with air districts throughout the state to quantify criteria air pollutant emissions associated with construction activities from a variety of land use projects, such as residential, commercial, and industrial facilities.

A construction assumptions scenario was developed for each of the project pipeline sections modeled based on the best available information at this time. Key construction assumptions include phase types, phase timing and duration, off-road equipment use (e.g., type, quantity, and hours of operation per day), number of vehicle trips (e.g., haul trucks, vendor trucks, and worker vehicles) and trip distance, ground disturbance acreage, amount of demolition debris, and paving area. See Appendix E for complete construction assumption details.

A summary of anticipated construction schedules is listed in Table 3-4, Project Construction Assumptions, in Section 3, Project Description. For each of the Proposed Project pipeline alignment sections, the selected phase type and duration were based on the best available information provided by the City and consulting engineers. Phase timing and sequencing was accounted for where two or more phases overlap; the maximum daily emissions was estimated and presented in this analysis.

12287.04 November 2021 4.2 - 19

Non-cancer adverse health risks are measured against a hazard index, which is defined as the ratio of the predicted incremental exposure concentrations of the various noncarcinogens from the Project to published reference exposure levels that can cause adverse health effects.

Off-road equipment emissions were estimated in CalEEMod based on the type of equipment, the number of pieces of each equipment, and the hours of operation as provided by the City. Internal combustion engines used by construction equipment would result in emissions of ROG, NO_x, CO, SO_x, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}.

Emissions from vehicle trips were estimated in CalEEMod based on the number of trips, the trip distance, and emission factors for the vehicle category. Regarding the vehicle categories, and consistent with CalEEMod default values, worker trips are assumed to be passenger vehicles and light-duty trucks, vendor truck trips are assumed to be a mix of medium- and heavy-heavy duty trucks, and haul truck trips are assumed to be heavy-heavy duty trucks. Each worker, vendor, and haul truck was estimated to result in two one-way trips. As with equipment, internal combustion engines used by vehicles would result in emissions of ROG, NO_x, CO, SO_x, PM₁₀, and PM_{2.5}.

Fugitive dust (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} emissions) is generated by entrained dust, which results from the exposure of earth surfaces to wind from the direct disturbance and movement of soil, which occurs during earth movement phases (site preparation and grading) and during the loading of material into haul trucks. As discussed in Chapter 3, Project Description, of this EIR, the City has identified standard construction practices that would be implemented by the City or its contractors during construction activities, including wind erosion (dust) controls, such as watering active construction sites, hydroseeding and/or applying non-toxic soil binders to exposed areas after cut and fill activities, covering inactive soil storage piles, covering all trucks hauling loose materials (such as dirt and sand) off-site, and installing appropriate track-out capture methods for exiting trucks. These measures would limit the potential for fugitive dust generation.

VOC off-gassing emissions would occur during application of asphalt pavement when repaving disturbed areas in roadways. During paving, ROG off-gassing emissions are estimated in CalEEMod based on the area of asphalt pavement assumed and the default emission factor of 2.62 pounds per acre of VOC.

Operation

Once Proposed Project construction is complete, operations would be similar to existing conditions and would not require an increase in on-road vehicle trips associated with routine inspection and maintenance by City staff. As such, no additional sources of air pollutants are anticipated during long-term operations.

Application of Relevant Standard Practices

The City has adopted standard construction practices (see Section 3.6.6, Standard Construction Practices) that would be implemented by the City or its contractors during construction to avoid or minimize effects to air quality. These practices and their effectiveness in avoiding and minimizing effects are described below.

Standard Construction Practice #1 requires implementation of erosion control best management practices, such as silt fences, fiber or straw rolls, and/or bales; covering of stockpiled spoils; revegetation and physical stabilization of disturbed areas; and sediment-control fencing, dams, barriers, berms, traps, and basins, for activities occurring in or adjacent to jurisdictional aquatic resources. Standard Construction Practice #2 requires stockpile containment and use of exposed soil stabilization structures. Standard Construction Practice #3 requires use of runoff control devices to be used during construction during the rainy season, and inspection of such devices following rain events. Standard Construction Practice #4 requires implementation

of wind erosion (dust) controls. These practices that would be implemented by the City or its contractors during construction activities would be effective at limiting the potential for fugitive dust generation.

Impacts have been evaluated with respect to the thresholds of significance, as described above. In the event that adverse environmental impacts would occur even with consideration of applicable policies and regulations and the Standard Construction Practices described in Chapter 3, Project Description, mitigation measures are provided to reduce impacts to less-than-significant levels.

4.2.3.3 Project Impact Analysis

Areas of No Impact

The Proposed Project would have no impacts with respect to the following thresholds of significance as described below.

• Conflict with AQMP (Significance Threshold A). As described in the MBARD CEQA Guidelines (2008), project emissions that are not accounted for in the AQMP's emission inventory are considered a significant cumulative impact to regional air quality. However, construction exhaust emissions are accounted for in the AQMP emissions inventory (MBARD 2018), and therefore Proposed Project construction emissions would not conflict with the AQMP. Regarding long-term operations, the Proposed Project would not result in an increase in criteria air pollutants as operations and maintenance are expected to remain the same as currently exist. In addition, the Proposed Project is not population inducing and would not conflict with the Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments (AMBAG) population projections incorporated in the AQMP. As such, construction and operation of the Proposed Project would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the AQMP, resulting in no impact. Furthermore, as determined in Impact AIR-1 (discussed below), the Proposed Project would result in emissions during short-term construction that would not exceed the MBARD thresholds of significance.

Project Impacts

Impact AIR-1: Criteria Pollutant Emissions (Significance Threshold B). The Proposed Project would result in emissions of criteria pollutants, but would not exceed adopted thresholds of significance, violate any air quality standard or contribute substantially to an existing or projected air quality violation. Therefore, the Proposed Project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard. (Less than Significant)

Short-term construction of the Proposed Project would result in a minimal increase in daily criteria air pollutant emissions and would not exceed the applicable MBARD thresholds. As such, the Proposed Project would not result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the region is non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient air quality standard. This impact would be less than significant, as further explained below.

Construction Emissions

Construction emissions associated with the Proposed Project were estimated and are depicted in Table 4.2-4. As shown in Table 4.2-4, maximum daily emissions of PM₁₀ associated with construction of the Proposed Project would not exceed the applicable MBARD significance threshold. Therefore, construction emissions would result in a less-than-significant impact.

Operational Emissions

As indicated in Section 4.2.3.2, Analytical Methods, once Proposed Project construction is complete, operations would be similar to existing conditions. Therefore, no additional sources of air pollutants are anticipated during long-term operations.

Mitigation Measures

As described above, the Proposed Project would not result in significant impacts related to criteria air pollutant emissions, and therefore, no mitigation measures are required.

Table 4.2-4. Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions

Voor	ROG	NOx	СО	SO _x	PM10	PM2.5	
Year	Pounds per Day						
2022	10.76	96.64	133.54	0.25	14.16	6.44	
2023	1.77	42.97	21.13	0.14	4.57	1.55	
2024	39.57	49.00	21.42	0.16	5.03	1.78	
2025	0.20	3.98	1.51	0.01	0.42	0.15	
2030	180.75	9.93	11.49	0.04	1.21	0.48	
2031	90.53	17.36	17.66	0.07	2.26	0.83	
Maximum daily emissions	180.75	96.64	133.54	0.25	14.16	6.44	
MBARD threshold ^a	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	82	N/A	
Threshold exceeded?	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	No	N/A	

Notes: AAQS = Ambient Air Quality Standard; CO = carbon monoxide; MBARD = Monterey Bay Air Resources District; N/A = not applicable; NO_x = oxides of nitrogen; PM₁₀ = coarse particulate matter; PM_{2.5} = fine particulate matter; ROG = reactive organic gases; SO_x = sulfur oxides.

See Appendix E for details. The values shown are the maximum summer or winter daily emissions results from CalEEMod and include watering of exposed areas two times per day, per the City's Standard Construction Practices.

For construction, the threshold is 82 pounds per day of PM₁₀. MBARD has not adopted quantitative thresholds for the other criteria air pollutants since construction projects using typical construction equipment such as dump trucks, scrappers, bulldozers, compactors and front-end loaders that temporarily emit other air pollutants are accommodated in the emission inventories of State- and federally-required air plans and would not have a significant impact on the AAQS (MBARD 2008).

Impact AIR-2: Exposure of Sensitive Receptors (Significance Threshold C). The Proposed Project would not expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. (Less than Significant)

Health Effects of Criteria Air Pollutants

ROG and NO_x are precursors to O₃, for which the Air Basin is designated as nonattainment with respect to the CAAQS. The health effects associated with O₃ are generally related to reduced lung function. The contribution of ROG and NO_x to regional ambient O₃ concentrations is the result of complex photochemistry. The increases in O₃ concentrations in the Air Basin due to O₃ precursor emissions tend to be found downwind from the source location to allow time for the photochemical reactions to occur. However, the potential for exacerbating excessive O₃ concentrations would also depend on the time of year that the precursor emissions would occur because exceedances of the O₃ AAQS tend to occur between April and October when solar radiation is highest. The holistic effect of a single project's emissions of O₃ precursors is speculative due to the lack of reliable and meaningful quantitative methods to assess this impact. This is particularly true of a project with less-than-significant emissions of precursors to O₃. The Proposed Project would generate ROG and NO_x exhaust emissions from typical construction activities, which are already accounted for in the emissions inventories of the state- and federally required air plans, and would not have a significant impact on the attainment and maintenance of the O₃ AAQS or result in potential health effects associated with O₃.

Construction and operation of the Proposed Project would not contribute to exceedances of the NAAQS and CAAQS for NO₂, which is a constituent of NO_x. This is due to the project's NO_x emissions already being captured in federal and state inventories and associated reduction measures set forth in the applicable air plans, as stated previously. Health effects that result from NO₂ and NO_x include respiratory irritation, which could be experienced by nearby receptors during the periods of heaviest use of off-road construction equipment. However, existing NO₂ concentrations in the area are well below the NAAQS and CAAQS standards and construction and operation of the Proposed Project would not create substantial, localized NO_x impacts. Therefore, the Proposed Project is not anticipated to result in potential health effects associated with NO₂ and NO_x.

Mobile source impacts occur on two scales of motion. Regionally, project-related travel would add to regional trip generation and increase the VMT within the local airshed and the Air Basin. Locally, project-generated traffic would be added to the roadway system near the pipeline section sites during construction. If such traffic occurs during periods of poor atmospheric ventilation, is composed of a large number of vehicles "cold-started" and operating at pollution-inefficient speeds, and is operating on roadways already crowded with non-project traffic, there is a potential for the formation of microscale CO hotspots in the area immediately around points of substantially elevated and localized CO emissions, such as around congested intersections. During construction, the Proposed Project would result in CO emissions from construction worker vehicles, haul trucks, and off-road equipment. Title 40, Section 93.123(c)(5) of the California Code of Regulations, Procedures for Determining Localized CO, PM10, and PM2.5 Concentrations (hot-spot analysis), states that "CO, PM10, and PM_{2.5} hot-spot analyses are not required to consider construction-related activities, which cause temporary increases in emissions. Each site which is affected by construction-related activities shall be considered separately, using established 'Guideline' methods. Temporary increases are defined as those which occur only during the construction phase and last five years or less at any individual site" (40 CCR Section 93.123). Since construction activities would be temporary for each project pipeline section, a construction hotspot analysis would not be required. Further, the Proposed Project would not result in additional traffic trips during operation

and therefore would not exceed the MBARD CO screening criteria resulting in the formation of potential CO hotspots. Thus, the Proposed Project's CO emissions would not contribute to significant health effects at nearby sensitive receptors.

As depicted in Table 4.2-4 above, construction and operation of the Proposed Project would result in minimal emissions of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ and would not contribute to exceedances of the NAAQS and CAAQS for particulate matter or obstruct the Air Basin from coming into attainment for these pollutants. Since PM_{10} is representative of the levels of DPM, the Proposed Project also would not result in substantial DPM emissions during construction and operation, and therefore, would not result in significant health effects related to DPM exposure. Due to the minimal contribution of PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ during construction, it is not anticipated that the Proposed Project would result in potential health effects related to particulate matter.

The California Supreme Court's *Sierra Club v. County of Fresno* (2018) 6 Cal. 5th 502 decision (referred to herein as the Friant Ranch decision) (issued on December 24, 2018), addresses the need to "substantively connect" mass emission values for criteria air pollutants to specific health consequences, and contains the following direction from the California Supreme Court: "The Environmental Impact Report (EIR) must provide an adequate analysis to inform the public how its bare numbers translate to create potential adverse impacts or it must explain what the agency *does* know and why, given existing scientific constraints, [if] it cannot translate potential health impacts further." (Italics original.) (Sierra Club v. County of Fresno 2018.) As this statement suggests, an EIR may deal adequately with the question of attempting to connect air pollutant emissions with human health effects if the EIR "adequately explains why it is not scientifically feasible at the time of drafting to provide such an analysis." (*Id.*) Currently, the MBARD, CARB, and EPA have not approved a quantitative method to reliably, meaningfully, and consistently translate the mass emission estimates for the criteria air pollutants resulting from the Proposed Project to specific health effects. In addition, there are numerous scientific and technological complexities associated with correlating criteria air pollutant emissions from an individual project to specific health effects or potential additional nonattainment days.

In connection with the judicial proceedings culminating in issuance of the Friant Ranch decision, the South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD) and the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (SJVAPCD) filed amicus briefs attesting to the extreme difficulty of correlating an individual project's criteria air pollutant emissions to specific health impacts. Both SJVAPCD and SCAQMD have among the most sophisticated air quality modeling and health impact evaluation capabilities of the air districts in California. The key, relevant points from SCAQMD and SJVAPCD briefs are summarized herein.

In requiring a health impact type of analysis for criteria air pollutants, it is important to understand how O₃ and particulate matter are formed, dispersed, and regulated. The formation of O₃ and particulate matter in the atmosphere, as secondary pollutants,⁵ involves complex chemical and physical interactions of multiple pollutants from natural and anthropogenic sources. The O₃ reaction is self-perpetuating (or catalytic) in the presence of sunlight because NO₂ is photochemically reformed from nitric oxide. In this way, O₃ is controlled by both NO_x and ROG emissions (NRC 2005). The complexity of these interacting cycles of pollutants means that incremental decreases in one emission may not result in proportional decreases in O₃ (NRC 2005). Although these reactions and interactions are well understood, variability in emission source operations and meteorology creates uncertainty in the modeled O₃ concentrations to which downwind populations may be exposed (NRC 2005). Once formed, O₃ can be transported long distances by wind, and due to atmospheric

Newell Creek Pipeline Improvement Project Draft EIR

12287.04

⁵ Air pollutants formed through chemical reactions in the atmosphere are referred to as secondary pollutants.

transport, contributions of precursors from the surrounding region can also be important. Because of the complexity of O₃ formation, a specific tonnage amount of ROG or NO_x emitted in a particular area does not equate to a particular concentration of O₃ in that area (SJVAPCD 2015). Particulate matter can be divided into two categories: directly emitted particulate matter and secondary particulate matter. Secondary particulate matter, like O₃, is formed via complex chemical reactions in the atmosphere between precursor chemicals such as SO_x and NO_x (SJVAPCD 2015). Because of the complexity of secondary particulate matter formation, including the potential to be transported long distances by wind, the tonnage of particulate matter-forming precursor emissions in an area does not necessarily result in an equivalent concentration of secondary particulate matter in that area (SJVAPCD 2015). This is especially true for individual projects, like the Proposed Project, where project-generated criteria air pollutant emissions are not derived from a single "point source," but from construction equipment and mobile sources (passenger cars and trucks) driving to, from and around the alignment sites.

Another important technical nuance is that health effects from air pollutants are related to the concentration of the air pollutant that an individual is exposed to, not necessarily the individual mass quantity of emissions associated with an individual project. For example, health effects from O3 are correlated with increases in the ambient level of O₃ in the air a person breathes (SCAQMD 2015). However, it takes a large amount of additional precursor emissions to cause a modeled increase in ambient O₃ levels over an entire region (SCAQMD 2015). The lack of link between the tonnage of precursor pollutants and the concentration of O₃ and PM_{2.5} formed is important because it is not necessarily the tonnage of precursor pollutants that causes human health effects; rather, it is the concentration of resulting O3 that causes these effects (SJVAPCD 2015). Indeed, the AAOS, which are statutorily required to be set by EPA at levels that are requisite to protect the public health, are established as concentrations of O₃ and PM_{2.5} and not as tonnages of their precursor pollutants (EPA 2018b). Because the ambient air quality standards are focused on achieving a particular concentration region-wide. the tools and plans for attaining the ambient air quality standards are regional in nature. For CEQA analyses, project-generated emissions are typically estimated in pounds per day or tons per year and compared to mass daily or annual emission thresholds. While CEQA thresholds are established at levels that the air basin can accommodate without affecting the attainment date for the AAQS, even if a project exceeds established CEQA significance thresholds, this does not mean that one can easily determine the concentration of O3 or particulate matter that will be created at or near the project site on a particular day or month of the year, or what specific health impacts will occur (SJVAPCD 2015).

In regard to regional concentrations and air basin attainment, the SJVAPCD emphasized that attempting to identify a change in background pollutant concentrations that can be attributed to a single project, even one as large as the entire Friant Ranch Specific Plan, is a theoretical exercise. The SJVAPCD brief noted that it "would be extremely difficult to model the impact on NAAQS attainment that the emissions from the Friant Ranch project may have" (SJVAPCD 2015). The situation is further complicated by the fact that background concentrations of regional pollutants are not uniform either temporally or geographically throughout an air basin, but are constantly fluctuating based upon meteorology and other environmental factors. SJVAPCD noted that the currently available modeling tools are equipped to model the impact of all emission sources in the San Joaquin Valley Air Basin on attainment (SJVAPCD 2015). The SJVAPCD brief then indicated that, "Running the photochemical grid model used for predicting O₃ attainment with the emissions solely from the Friant Ranch project (which equate to less than one-tenth of one percent of the total NO_x and VOC in the Valley) is not likely to yield valid information given the relative scale involved" (SJVAPCD 2015).

SCAQMD and SJVAPCD have indicated that it is not feasible to quantify project-level health impacts based on existing modeling (SCAQMD 2015; SJVAPCD 2015). Even if a metric could be calculated, it would not be reliable because the models are equipped to model the impact of all emission sources in an air basin on attainment and would likely not yield valid information or a measurable increase in O₃ concentrations sufficient to accurately quantify O₃-related health impacts for an individual project.

Nonetheless, following the Supreme Court's Friant Ranch decision, some EIRs where estimated criteria air pollutant emissions exceeded applicable air district thresholds have included a quantitative analysis of potential project-generated health effects using a combination of a regional photochemical grid model (PGM)⁶ and the EPA Benefits Mapping and Analysis Program (BenMAP or BenMAP–Community Edition [CE])⁷. The publicly available health impact assessments (HIAs) typically present results in terms of an increase in health incidences and/or the increase in background health incidence for various health outcomes resulting from the project's estimated increase in concentrations of O₃ and PM_{2.5}.⁸ To date, the five publicly available HIAs have concluded that the evaluated project's health effects associated with the estimated project-generated increase in concentrations of O₃ and PM_{2.5} represent a small increase in incidences and a very small percent of the number of background incidences, indicating that these health impacts are negligible and potentially within the models' margin of error. It is also important to note that while the results of the five available HIAs conclude that the project emissions do not result in a substantial increase in health incidences, the estimated emissions and assumed toxicity is also conservatively inputted into the HIA and thus, overestimate health incidences, particularly for PM_{2.5}.

As explained in the SJVAPCD brief and noted previously, running the PGM used for predicting O₃ attainment with the emissions solely from an individual project like the Friant Ranch project or the Proposed Project is not likely to yield valid information given the relative scale involved. The five available HIAs support the SJVAPCD's brief contention that consistent, reliable, and meaningful results may not be provided by methods applied at this time. Accordingly, additional work in the industry and more importantly, air district participation, is needed to develop a more meaningful analysis to correlate project-level mass criteria air pollutant emissions and health effects for decision makers and the public. Furthermore, at the time of writing, every HIA has concluded that health effects estimated using the PGM and BenMAP approach are not substantial and are even potentially within the models' margin of error.

EIR (3D30 2019).

12287.04

The first step in the publicly available HIAs includes running a regional PGM, such as the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model or the Comprehensive Air Quality Model with extensions (CAMx) to estimate the increase in concentrations of O₃ and PM_{2.5} as a result of project-generated emissions of criteria and precursor pollutants. Air districts, such as the SCAQMD, use photochemical air quality models for regional air quality planning. These photochemical models are large-scale air quality models that simulate the changes of pollutant concentrations in the atmosphere using a set of mathematical equations characterizing the chemical and physical processes in the atmosphere (EPA 2017).

⁷ After estimating the increase in concentrations of O₃ and PM_{2.5}, the second step in the five examples includes use of BenMAP or BenMAP-CE to estimate the resulting associated health effects. BenMAP estimates the number of health incidences resulting from changes in air pollution concentrations (EPA 2018b). The health impact function in BenMAP-CE incorporates four key sources of data: (i) modeled or monitored air quality changes, (ii) population, (iii) baseline incidence rates, and (iv) an effect estimate. All of the five example HIAs focused on O₃ and PM_{2.5}.

The following CEQA documents included a quantitative HIA to address the requirements of the Friant Ranch decision: (1) California State University Dominguez Hills 2018 Campus Master Plan EIR (CSU Dominguez Hills 2019), (2) March Joint Powers Association K4 Warehouse and Cactus Channel Improvements EIR (March JPA 2019), (3) Mineta San Jose Airport Amendment to the Airport Master Plan EIR (City of San Jose 2019), (4) City of Inglewood Basketball and Entertainment Center Project EIR (City of Inglewood 2019), and (5) San Diego State University (SDSU) Mission Valley Campus Master Plan EIR (SDSU 2019).

In summary, because construction and/or operation of the Proposed Project would not result in the emissions of criteria air pollutants that would exceed the applicable MBARD significance thresholds, and because the MBARD thresholds are based on levels that the Air Basin can accommodate without affecting the attainment date for the AAQS and the AAQS are established to protect public health and welfare, the Proposed Project would not result in health effects associated with criteria air pollutants and the impact would be less than significant.

Toxic Air Contaminants

TACs are defined as substances that may cause or contribute to an increase in deaths or in serious illness, or that may pose a present or potential hazard to human health. State law has established the framework for California's TAC identification and control program, which is generally more stringent than the federal program and aimed at TACs that are a problem in California. The state has formally identified more than 200 substances as TACs, including the federal HAPs, and is adopting appropriate control measures for sources of these TACs. During Proposed Project construction, DPM would be the primary TAC emitted from diesel-fueled equipment and trucks. The following measures are required by state law to reduce DPM emissions:

- Fleet owners of mobile construction equipment are subject to the CARB Regulation for In-Use Off-Road Diesel Vehicles (13 CCR Chapter 9, Section 2449), the purpose of which is to reduce DPM and criteria pollutant emissions from in-use (existing) off-road diesel-fueled vehicles.
- All commercial diesel vehicles are subject to Title 13, Section 2485 of the California Code of Regulations, limiting engine idling time. Idling of heavy-duty diesel construction equipment and trucks during loading and unloading shall be limited to 5 minutes; electric auxiliary power units should be used whenever possible.

Sensitive receptors are located immediately adjacent to or within close proximity to the project alignments. Health effects from carcinogenic air toxics are usually described in terms of cancer risk. The MBARD recommends an incremental cancer risk threshold of 10 in 1 million. "Incremental cancer risk" is the net increased likelihood that a person continuously exposed to concentrations of TACs resulting from a project over a 9-, 30-, and 70-year exposure period will contract cancer based on the use of standard Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment (OEHHA) risk-assessment methodology (OEHHA 2015). In addition, some TACs have noncarcinogenic effects. The MBARD recommends a Hazard Index of 1 or more for acute (short-term) and chronic (long-term) effects.9

DPM emissions would be emitted from heavy equipment operations and diesel-fueled trucks. Heavy-duty construction equipment and commercial trucks are subject to CARB Air Toxic Control Measures to reduce diesel particulate emissions. As described in Table 4.2-4 above, maximum daily total PM10 emissions generated by construction equipment operation and trucks (exhaust particulate matter, or DPM, combined with fugitive dust generated by equipment operation and vehicle travel), would be well below the MBARD significance threshold. Moreover, construction of each of the pipeline sections would be short term, after which project-related TAC emissions (e.g., diesel emissions) would cease. Since the Proposed Project would result in linear construction, construction would proceed along the alignments and would not require the extensive use of heavy-duty construction equipment or diesel trucks in any one location over the duration of development,

Newell Creek Pipeline Improvement Project Draft EIR

November 2021

12287.04 4.2 - 27

Non-cancer adverse health risks are measured against a hazard index, which is defined as the ratio of the predicted incremental exposure concentrations of the various noncarcinogens from the Proposed Project to published reference exposure levels that can cause adverse health effects.

which would limit the exposure of any proximate individual sensitive receptor to TACs. No long-term sources of TAC emissions, such as diesel generators or substantial vehicle traffic, are anticipated during operation of the Proposed Project. Due to the relatively short period of exposure at any individual sensitive receptor and minimal particulate emissions generated, TACs emitted during construction would not be expected to result in concentrations causing significant health risks, which would be a less-than-significant impact.

Mitigation Measures

As described above, the Proposed Project would not result in significant impacts related to exposure of sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations, and therefore, no mitigation measures are required.

Impact AIR-3: Result in Other Emissions (Odors) Adversely Affecting a Substantial Number of People (Significance Threshold D). The Proposed Project would not result in other emissions that would adversely affect a substantial number of people. (Less than Significant)

The occurrence and severity of potential odor impacts depends on numerous factors, including the nature, frequency, and intensity of the source; the wind speeds and direction; and the sensitivity of receiving location. Although offensive odors seldom cause physical harm, they can be annoying and cause distress among the public and generate citizen complaints.

Odors would be potentially generated from vehicles and equipment exhaust emissions during Proposed Project construction. Potential odors produced during construction would be attributable to concentrations of unburned hydrocarbons from tailpipes of construction equipment and asphalt pavement application. Such odors would disperse rapidly from the pipeline section sites and generally occur at magnitudes that would not affect substantial numbers of people. Therefore, impacts associated with odors during construction would be less than significant.

Typical sources of odors include landfills, rendering plants, chemical plants, agricultural uses, wastewater treatment plants, and refineries. Regarding operations, the Proposed Project involves improvements to pipeline infrastructure and any odors produced would be minimal and similar to existing conditions. Overall, the Proposed Project would not result in odors that would affect a substantial number of people. Therefore, impacts associated with odors during operation would be less than significant.

Mitigation Measures

As described above, the Proposed Project would not result in significant impacts related to other emissions such as odors, and therefore, no mitigation measures are required.

4.2.3.4 Cumulative Impacts Analysis

This section provides an evaluation of cumulative air quality impacts associated with the Proposed Project and past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects, as identified in Table 4.0-1 in Section 4.0, Introduction to Analyses. The entire Air Basin is the geographic context for the evaluation of cumulative air quality impacts related to substantial pollutant concentrations and related health effects.

Air Quality Management Plan

As described under Impact AIR-1, project emissions that are not accounted for in the AQMP's emission inventory are considered to have a significant cumulative impact to regional air quality (MBARD 2008). The Proposed Project would not result in long-term operational emissions as operation and maintenance activities would remain as currently exist. The Proposed Project would result in construction-related emissions. However, construction exhaust emissions are accounted for in the AQMP emissions inventory (MBARD 2018). Since the Proposed Project would result in typical construction activities, it would generate exhaust emissions that are accounted for in the AQMP. Therefore, the Proposed Project would not contribute to potential cumulative impacts due to conflicts with MBARD's AQMP.

Impact AIR-4: Cumulative Air Quality Impacts (Significance Thresholds B, C, and D). The Proposed Project emissions, in combination with past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future development, would not result in a significant cumulative impact related to air quality, and the Proposed Project's contribution would not be cumulatively considerable. (Less than Significant)

Criteria Air Pollutants

By its nature, air pollution is largely a cumulative impact. The nonattainment status of regional pollutants is a result of past and present development, and the MBARD develops and implements plans for future attainment of ambient air quality standards. Based on these considerations, project-level thresholds of significance for criteria pollutants are relevant in the determination of whether a project's individual emissions would have a cumulatively significant impact on air quality. The potential for the Proposed Project to result in a cumulatively considerable impact, specifically a cumulatively considerable new increase of any criteria air pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable NAAQS and/or CAAQS, is addressed in Impact AIR-1. As previously discussed, the Proposed Project would not exceed the MBARD significance thresholds for any criteria air pollutant, and would not result in operational emissions. Therefore, the Proposed Project's construction-related emissions and contribution to air quality impacts would not be cumulatively considerable.

Substantial Pollutant Concentrations

As indicated above, the entire Air Basin is the geographic context for the evaluation of cumulative air quality impacts related to substantial pollutant concentrations and related health effects. There are numerous scientific and technological complexities associated with correlating criteria air pollutant emissions from an individual project to specific health effects or potential additional nonattainment days, and there are currently no modeling tools that could provide reliable and meaningful additional information regarding health effects from criteria air pollutants generated by individual projects. As addressed in Impact AIR-2, construction and operation of the Proposed Project would not result in the exceedances of the MBARD significance thresholds, and the MBARD thresholds are based on levels that the Air Basin can accommodate without affecting the attainment date for the AAQS, which are established to protect public health and welfare

12287.04

TACs have a localized impact, with the geographic context consisting of sensitive receptors proximate¹⁰ to Proposed Project alignments. However, as described under Impact AIR-2, construction of each of these pipeline sections would be short term, after which project-related TAC emissions would cease. Furthermore, no long-term sources of TAC emissions are anticipated during operation of the Proposed Project. Even with consideration of cumulative projects, due to the relatively short period of exposure at any individual sensitive receptor and minimal DPM emissions generated by the Proposed Project, TACs emitted by the Proposed Project development would not be substantial. Therefore, the Proposed Project's construction-related emissions would not be cumulatively considerable related to substantial pollutant concentrations.

Odors

Odors are a localized impact. As indicated in Impact AIR-3, the Proposed Project's impact related to odor would be less than significant. Since the MBARD does not have a specific regulation or rule that addresses objectionable odors, any actions related to odors would be based on public complaints made to the MBARD. Additionally, all future projects, including those listed Table 4.0-1 in Section 4.0, Introduction to Analyses, would be subject to MBARD Rule 402 (Nuisances), which prohibits the discharge of air contaminants or other materials which cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons or to the public; or which endanger the comfort, repose, health, or safety of any such persons or the public; or which cause, or have a natural tendency to cause, injury or damage to business or property. Furthermore, none of known cumulative projects involve land uses that would typically result in substantial odors. Therefore, cumulative impacts related to generation of odors would not be significant.

4.2.4 References

BAAQMD (Bay Area Air Quality Management District). 2017. *California Environmental Quality Act Air Quality Guidelines*. Updated May 2017. Accessed September 23, 2021 at http://www.baaqmd.gov/~/media/files/planning-and-research/ceqa/ceqa_guidelines_may2017-pdf.pdf?la=en.

California Air Resources Board (CARB). 2000. *Risk Reduction Plan to Reduce Particulate Matter Emissions from Diesel-Fueled Engines and Vehicles*. October 2000. Accessed September 23, 2021 at http://www.arb.ca.gov/diesel/documents/rrpfinal.pdf.

CARB. 2005. Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective. April 2005. Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://www.arb.ca.gov/ch/handbook.pdf.

CARB. 2009. "ARB Fact Sheet: Air Pollution Sources, Effects and Control." Last reviewed December 2, 2009.

CARB. 2016. "Ambient Air Quality Standards." May 4, 2016. Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/sites/default/files/2020-07/aaqs2.pdf.

CARB. 2017. Inhalable Particulate Matter and Health (PM_{2.5} and PM₁₀). Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aaqs/common-pollutants/pm/pm.htm.

The Bay Area Air Quality Management District (BAAQMD) identifies a 1,000 feet radius as the geographic context to evaluate health risk impacts, including on a cumulative basis (BAAQMD 2017). MBARD does not have a similar defined radial zone of impact.

- CARB. 2019a. "Glossary." Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/about/glossary.
- CARB. 2019b. "Ozone & Health." Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/ozone-and-health.
- CARB. 2019c. "Nitrogen Dioxide & Health." Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/nitrogen-dioxide-and-health.
- CARB. 2019d. "Carbon Monoxide & Health." Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/carbon-monoxide-and-health.
- CARB. 2019e. "Sulfur Dioxide & Health." Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://ww2.arb.ca.gov/resources/sulfur-dioxide-and-health.
- CARB. 2019f. "Overview: Diesel Exhaust and Health." Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://www.arb.ca.gov/research/diesel/diesel-health.htm.
- CARB. 2020. "Area Designation Maps/State and National." Accessed September 23, 2021 at http://www.arb.ca.gov/desig/adm/adm.htm.
- CARB. 2021. Top 4 Summary Ambient Air Quality Data. [digital CARB data]. iADAM: Air Quality Data Statistics. Accessed September 23, 2021 at http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/topfour/topfour/1.php.
- City of Inglewood. 2019. Inglewood Basketball and Entertainment Center Project EIR. Accessed September 23, 2021 at http://ibecproject.com/D_AirQuality.pdf.
- City of San Jose. 2019. Mineta San Jose Airport Amendment to the Airport Master Plan EIR. Accessed February 11, 2021 at https://www.sanjoseca.gov/Home/ShowDocument?id=44596.
- California State University Dominguez Hills (CSUDH). 2019. *California State University Dominguez Hills Campus Master Plan EIR*. Accessed February 11, 2021 at https://www.csudh.edu/Assets/csudh-sites/fpcm/docs/campus-master-plan/2019-09-11-FEIR-appendices.pdf.
- Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. (EPA). 2013. *Integrated Science Assessment (ISA) of Ozone and Related Photochemical Oxidants (Final Report, Feb 2013*). EPA/600/R-10/076F. Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://cfpub.epa.gov/ncea/isa/recordisplay.cfm?deid=247492.
- EPA. 2017. Support Center for Regulatory Atmospheric Modeling (SCRAM) Photochemical Air Quality Modeling. Accessed February 11, 2021 at https://www.epa.gov/scram/photochemical-air-quality-modeling.
- EPA. 2018a. "Criteria Air Pollutants." March 8, 2018. Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants.
- EPA. 2018b. Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) Models. Accessed February 11, 2021 at https://www.epa.gov/cmaq/cmaq-models-0.

- EPA. 2020. "Region 9: Air Quality Analysis, Air Quality Maps." Last updated June 12, 2020. Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://www3.epa.gov/region9/air/maps/.
- EPA. 2021. "Air Data: Access to Air Pollution Data." July 15, 2021. Accessed September 23, 2021 at https://www.epa.gov/outdoor-air-quality-data.
- March JPA (March Joint Powers Association). 2019. K4 Warehouse and Cactus Channel Improvements EIR. Accessed February 11, 2021 at https://www.marchipa.com/meeting_agendas/current/04052021_regular_tac.pdf.
- Monterey Bay Air Resources District (MBARD). 2005. 2005 Report on Attainment of the California Particulate Matter Standards in the Monterey Bay Region. December 1, 2005.
- MBARD. 2007. 2007 Federal Maintenance Plan for Maintaining the National Ozone Standard in the Monterey Bay Region. Approved March 21, 2007.
- MBARD. 2008. CEQA Air Quality Guidelines. Adopted October 1995 and latest revision in February 2008.
- MBARD. 2017. 2012-2015 Air Quality Management Plan. Adopted March 15, 2017.
- Monterey Bay Air Resources District (MBARD). 2018. Personal communication via email between Matthew Morales (Dudek) and David Frisbey (MBARD Planning and Air Monitoring Manager). August 20, 2018.
- MBARD. 2017. 2012-2015 Air Quality Management Plan. Adopted March 15, 2017.
- MBARD. 2008. CEQA Air Quality Guidelines. Adopted October 1995 and latest revision in February 2008.
- MBARD. 2007. 2007 Federal Maintenance Plan for Maintaining the National Ozone Standard in the Monterey Bay Region. Approved March 21, 2007.
- MBARD. 2005. 2005 Report on Attainment of the California Particulate Matter Standards in the Monterey Bay Region. December 1, 2005.
- NRC (National Research Council of the National Academies). 2005. *Interim Report of the Committee on Changes in New Source Review Programs for Stationary Sources of Air Pollutants*. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press. Accessed May 2019 at https://doi.org/10.17226/11208.
- OEHHA (Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment). 2015. Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines Guidance Manual for Preparation of Health Risk Assessments 2015. February 2015. Accessed September 23, 2021 at http://oehha.ca.gov/air/hot_spots/2015/2015GuidanceManual.pdf.
- SCAQMD (South Coast Air Quality Management District). 2015. Brief of Amicus Curiae in Support of Neither Party, Sierra Club v. County of Fresno, Case No. S219783 (filed Apr. 13, 2015). Accessed February 11, 2021 at https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/9-s219783-ac-south-coast-air-quality-mgt-dist-041315.pdf.

- SDSU (San Diego State University). 2019. San Diego State University Mission Valley Campus Master Plan EIR Additional Information Regarding Potential Health Effects of Air Quality Impacts. December 2019. Accessed February 11, 2021 at https://missionvalley.sdsu.edu/pdfs/feir/appendices/4-2-3-sdsu-mv-health-effects-memo.pdf
- SJVAPCD (San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District). 2015. Brief of Amicus Curiae in Support of Defendant and Respondent, County of Fresno, and Real Party in Interest and Respondent, Friant Ranch, L.P., Sierra Club v. County of Fresno, Case No. S219783 (filed Apr. 13, 2015). Accessed February 11, 2021 at https://www.courts.ca.gov/documents/7-s219783-ac-san-joaquin-valley-unified-air-pollution-control-dist-041315.pdf.

November 2021

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK