

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

INSTRUCTIONS: This is a Word form with expanding text fields and check boxes. It will probably open as Read-Only. Save it to your computer before you begin entering data. This form can be saved and reopened.

Because this is a WORD form, it will behave generally like a regular Word document except that the font, size, and color are set by the text field.

- Start typing where indicated to provide the requested information.
- Click on the check box to mark either yes or no.
- To enter more than one item in a particular text box, just insert an extra line (Enter) between the items.

Save completed form and email as an attachment to info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov. You can also convert it to a PDF and send as an email attachment. Use the Acrobat tab in WORD and select Create and Attach to Email. You can then attach the required documents to that email. If the attachments are too large (greater than 10mb total), you will need to send them in a second or third email.

Name of CLG

Type here.

Report Prepared by: Ryan Bane

Date of commission/board review: 3-16-22

MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATION

I. Enforce Appropriate State or Local Legislation for the Designation and Protection of Historic Properties.

A. Preservation Laws

1. What amendments or revisions, if any, are you considering to the certified ordinance? Please forward drafts or proposals.

REMINDER: Pursuant to the CLG Agreement, OHP must have the opportunity to review and comment on ordinance changes prior to adoption. Changes that do not meet the CLG requirements could affect certification status.

Type here.

2. Provide an electronic link to your ordinance or appropriate section(s) of the municipal/zoning code. Type here.

B. New Local Landmark Designations (Comprehensive list of properties/districts designated during the reporting.

1. During the reporting period, October 1, 2020 – September 30, 2021, what properties/districts have been locally designated?

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Property Name/Address	Date Designated	If a district, number of contributors	Date Recorded by County Recorder
None	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

REMINDER: Pursuant to California Government Code § 27288.2, “the county recorder shall record a certified resolution establishing an historical resources designation issued by the State Historical Resources Commission or a local agency, or unit thereof.”

2. What properties/districts have been de-designated this past year? For districts, include the total number of resource contributors?

Property Name/Address	Date Removed	Reason
None	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.

C. Historic Preservation Element/Plan

1. Do you address historic preservation in your general plan? ☐ No
☐ Yes, in a separate historic preservation element. ☒ Yes, it is included in another element.

Provide an electronic link to the historic preservation section(s) of the General Plan or to the separate historic preservation element. <https://www.cityofsantacruz.com/home/showpublisheddocument/71130/637453677885300000>

D. Review Responsibilities

1. Who takes responsibility for design review or Certificates of Appropriateness?

- ☐ All projects subject to design review go the commission.
- ☒ Some projects are reviewed at the staff level without commission review. What is the threshold between staff-only review and full-commission review? **Single-story additions less than 500 square feet on the rear $\frac{3}{4}$ of the building; and, other minor window, door and roofing projects on the rear $\frac{3}{4}$ of the building.**

2. California Environmental Quality Act

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

- What is the role of historic preservation staff and commission in *providing input* to CEQA documents prepared for or by the local government? *Staff provides input; the Commission reviews any CEQA documents which are involved with projects which require their review.*

What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* CEQA documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? *See above.*

3. Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act

- What is the role of the staff and commission in *providing input* to Section 106 documents prepared for or by; the local government? *Staff provides input.*
- What is the role of the staff and commission in *reviewing* Section 106 documents for projects that are proposed within the jurisdiction of the local government? *Staff and Commission provide input*

II. Establish an Adequate and Qualified Historic Preservation Review Commission by State or Local Legislation.

A. Commission Membership

Name	Professional Discipline	Date Appointed	Date Term Ends	Email Address
Traci Bliss	Educator	9/11/18	1/1/23	
Dennis Diego	Architect	1/23/18	1/1/22	
Jessica Kusz	Historic Preservation Project Manager	1/24/17	1/1/25	
Don Lauritson	Retired City Planner	1/23/18	1/1/22	
Joe Michalak	Retired	1/23/18	1/1/22	
Albert Narath	Educator	9/11/18	1/1/24	
Ross Gibson	Historian	1/22/19	1/1/21	

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.
Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

Attach resumes and Statement of Professional Qualifications forms for all members.

1. If you do not have two qualified professionals on your commission, explain why the professional qualifications have not been met and how professional expertise is otherwise being provided. *N/A*
2. If all positions are not currently filled, why is there a vacancy, and when will the position be filled? *N/A*

B. Staff to the Commission/CLG staff

1. Is the staff to your commission the same as your CLG coordinator? ☒ Yes ☐ No If not, who serves as staff? [Click or tap here to enter text.](#)
2. If the position(s) is not currently filled, why is there a vacancy? *N/A*

Attach resumes and Statement of Professional Qualifications forms for staff.

Name/Title	Discipline	Dept. Affiliation	Email Address
Ryan Bane	Urban Planner	Planning & Community Development	rbane@cityofsantacruz.com

C. Attendance Record

Please complete attendance chart for each commissioner and staff member. Commissions are required to meet four times a year, at a minimum. If you haven't met at least four times, explain why not.

Commissioner/Staff	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep
Traci Bliss	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Dennis Diego	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ross Gibson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Jessica Kusz	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Don Lauritson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Joe Michalak	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Albert Narath	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ryan Bane	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Type here.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Type here.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

D. Training Received

Indicate what training each commissioner and staff member has received. Remember it is a CLG requirement that all commissioners and staff to the commission attend at least one training program relevant to your commission each year. It is up to the CLG to determine the relevancy of the training.

Commissioner/Staff Name	Training Title & Description (including method presentation, e.g., webinar, workshop)	Duration of Training	Training Provider	Date
Don Lauritson	Understanding Yesterday	2 hours	Santa Barbara Trust for Historic Preservation	3/24/21
Don Lauritson	Traditional Urbanism	2 hours	Santa Barbara Trust for Historic Preservation	4/7/21
Don Lauritson	CPF Conference	6 hours	California Preservation Foundation	6/8/21
Don Lauritson	CPF Conference	4.5 hours	California Preservation Foundation	6/9/21
Don Lauritson	CPF Conference	2 hours	California Preservation Foundation	6/10/21
Joe Michalak	Traditional Urbanism	2 hours	Santa Barbara Trust for Historic Preservation	4/7/21

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Joe Michalak	How to Research the History of Your House	2 hours	Genealogical Society of Santa Cruz County	6/1/21
Joe Michalak	CPF Conference – The Celebration of Inclusiveness, Towards an Integrated Approach	1 hour	California Preservation Foundation	6/9/21
Joe Michalak	CPF Conference – Roundtable Discussion: Reframing Integrity – Launching Change	1 hour	California Preservation Foundation	6/9/21
Joe Michalak	CPF Conference – Acknowledgement is Empowerment: Segregation from Redlining to Covenants	1 hour	California Preservation Foundation	6/9/21
Joe Michalak	CPF Conference – Bunker Hill Refrain: New Digital Tools for Public History and Crowdsourcing	1 hour	California Preservation Foundation	6/10/21
Joe Michalak	CPF Conference – Investing in Community Heritage: Mitigation that Works	1 hour	California Preservation Foundation	6/10/21
Joe Michalak	CPF Conference – Investing in Community Heritage: Mitigation that Works	1 hour	California Preservation Foundation	6/11/21
Joe Michalak	CPF Conference – Historic Windows – They Eyes to our Buildings	1 hour	California Preservation Foundation	6/11/21
Jessica Kusz	California Mission Foundation Conference	12 hours	California Mission Foundation	2/12/21
Jessica Kusz	California Preservation Foundation Conference	12 hours	California Preservation Foundation	6/9/21
Jessica Kusz	California Preservation Foundation Webinar – Digital Site Recon – Secrets of Online Mapping Tools	1 hour	California Preservation Foundation	2/5/21

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Jessica Kusz	California Preservation Foundation Webinar – Ghostlore vs Fakelore: Historic Properties, Haunted Reputations, The Hotel Cecil and More	2 hours	California Preservation Foundation	3/11/21
Traci Bliss	How to Research the History of Your House	2 hours	Genealogical Society of Santa Cruz County	6/1/21
Dennis Diego	How to Research the History of Your House	2 hours	Genealogical Society of Santa Cruz County	6/1/21
Albert Narath	How to Research the History of Your House	2 hours	Genealogical Society of Santa Cruz County	6/1/21
Ryan Bane	How to Research the History of Your House	2 hours	Genealogical Society of Santa Cruz County	6/1/21

III. Maintain a System for the Survey and Inventory of Properties that Furthers the Purposes of the National Historic Preservation Act

A. Historical Contexts: initiated, researched, or developed in the reporting year (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: California CLG procedures require CLGs to submit survey results, including historic contexts, to OHP. (If you have not done so, submit an electronic copy or link if available online with this report.)

Context Name	Description	How it is Being Used	Date Submitted to OHP
None	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.	Click or tap here to enter text.

B. New Surveys or Survey Updates (excluding those funded by OHP)

NOTE: The evaluation of a single property is not a survey. Also, material changes to a property that is included in a survey, is not a change to the survey and should not be reported here.

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Survey Area	Context Based-yes/no	Level: Reconnaissance or Intensive	Acreage	# of Properties Surveyed	Date Completed	Date Submitted to OHP
None	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.	Type here.

How are you using the survey data? Type here.

IV. Provide for Adequate Public Participation in the Local Historic Preservation Program

A. Public Education

What public outreach, training, or publications programs has the CLG undertaken? How were the commissioners and staff involved? Please provide an electronic link to all publications or other products not previously provided to OHP.

Item or Event	Description	Date
None	Type here.	Type here.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NATIONAL PARK SERVICE ANNUAL PRODUCTS REPORTS FOR CLGS

NOTE: OHP will forward this information to NPS on your behalf. CLG Inventory Program

During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) how many historic properties did your local government **add** to the CLG inventory? This is the total number of historic properties and contributors to districts (or your best estimate of the number) added to your inventory **from all programs**, local, state, and Federal, during the reporting year. These might include National Register, California Register, California Historic Landmarks, locally funded surveys, CLG surveys, and local designations.

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

Program area	Number of Properties added
None	0

A. Local Register (i.e., Local Landmarks and Historic Districts) Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2019-September 30, 2020) did you have a local register program to create local landmarks and/or local districts (or a similar list of designations) created by local law? ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been added to your register or designated from October 1, 2018 to September 30, 2019? None

C. Local Tax Incentives Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) did you have a Local Tax Incentives Program, such as the Mills Act? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. If the answer is yes, how many properties have been added to this program from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? *Click or tap here to enter text.*

Name of Program	Number of Properties Added During 2020-2021	Total Number of Properties Benefiting From Program
Type here.	Type here.	<i>Click or tap here to enter text.</i>

D. Local “bricks and mortar” grants/loan program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) did you have a local government historic preservation grant and/or loan program for rehabilitating/restoring historic properties? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
None	Type here.

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

E. Design Review/Local Regulatory Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020-September 30, 2021) did your local government have a historic preservation regulatory law(s) (e.g., an ordinance) authorizing Commission and/or staff review of local government projects or impacts on historic properties? ☒ Yes ☐ No
2. If the answer is yes, how many historic properties did your local government review for compliance with your local government's historic preservation regulatory law(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021? 4

F. Local Property Acquisition Program

1. During the reporting period (October 1, 2020--September 30, 2021) did you have a local program to acquire (or help to acquire) historic properties in whole or in part through purchase, donation, or other means? ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. If the answer is yes, then how many properties have been assisted under the program(s) from October 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021 Type here.

Name of Program	Number of Properties that have Benefited
Type here.	Type here.

IN ADDITION TO THE MINIMUM CLG REQUIREMENTS, OHP IS INTERESTED IN YOUR FEEDBACK ABOUT THE RECENT CAMP TRAINING

- Did anyone from your local government participate in the free CAMP training opportunities in Fall 2021? No
- If yes, which training session(s) did you find the most informative and useful?
- Whether or not you were able to take advantage of any of the CAMP trainings in 2021, would you like to see OHP to provide free additional CAMPs in the future? Yes

Certified Local Government Program -- 2020-2021 Annual Report

(Reporting period is from October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2021)

XII Attachments (electronic)

- ☒ Resumes and Statement of Qualifications forms for **all** commission members/alternatives and staff
- ☒ Minutes from commission meetings
- ☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the ordinance
- ☐ Drafts of proposed changes to the General Plan
- ☐ Public outreach publications

Email to: info.calshpo@parks.ca.gov

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Minutes Regular Meeting February 17, 2021

7:00 P.M. GENERAL BUSINESS AND MATTERS OF PUBLIC INTEREST, ZOOM WEBINAR

Call to Order-The meeting was called to order at 7:10 p.m.

Roll Call-Commissioners Lauritson, Kusz, Bliss, Narath, Subocz, Diego, and Michalak were present.

Absent w/notification-None

Statements of Disqualification-None

Oral Communications-None

Approval of Minutes

1. Approve the minutes of August 5, 2020.

MOTION: Motion made by Commissioner Lauritson, seconded by Commissioner Bliss, with Commissioner Subocz abstaining, to approve the minutes of August 5, 2020.

ACTION: Motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Lauritson, Kusz, Bliss, Narath, Diego, and Michalak

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: Subocz

ABSENT: None

2. Approval of the Minutes of October 14, 2020

MOTION: Motion made by Commissioner Lauritson, seconded by Commissioner Michalak, with Commissioner Subocz abstaining, to approve the minutes of October 15, 2020.

ACTION: Motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Lauritson, Kusz, Bliss, Narath, Diego, and Michalak

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: Subocz
ABSENT: None

Public Hearings

3. 106 Manor Avenue Santa Cruz CA File Number: CP20-0058 APN: 004-284-17

By consensus, the Commission continued this item to the March 17, 2021 regular meeting.

General Business

4. Nomination and Election of Chairperson and Vice-Chairperson for 2021.

MOTION: Motion made by Commissioner Subocz, seconded by Commissioner Narath, to close the nominations and elect Commissioner Lauritson to serve as Chairperson and Commissioner Kusz to serve as Vice-Chairperson for 2021.

ACTION: Motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Subocz, Lauritson, Kusz, Bliss, Narath, Diego, and Michalak
NOES: None
ABSTAIN: None
ABSENT: None

Information Items

Subcommittee/Advisory Body Oral Reports

5. Planning Commission Subcommittee: New Requirements for Application Materials.

Samantha Haschert, Senior Planner, presented the report to the Commission.

6. Inclusionary, Alternative Housing Types, and Enhanced Density Bonus.

Commissioner Conway presented the report to the Commission.

Adjournment-The meeting adjourned at 8:19 p.m.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Regular Meeting Minutes April 21, 2021

7:00 P.M. **GENERAL BUSINESS AND MATTERS OF PUBLIC INTEREST, ZOOM WEBINAR**

Call to Order

Roll Call-Commissioners Kusz, Michalak, Narath, Subocz, Diego, and Lauritson were present.

Absent w/Notification-Commissioner Bliss was absent with notification.

Statements of Disqualification-None.

Oral Communications-The following members of the public addressed the Commission: David Michael.

General Business

1. Historic Preservation Commission Resolution/Planning Memo - Review Process for 50+ Year Old Properties; Notification of Historic Preservation Commission

ACTION: Discussion held, no action taken.

2. Certified Local Government Annual Report Review (Historic Preservation Commission Training in 2020 & 2021)

ACTION: Discussion held, no action taken.

Information Items

3. May 2021 Historic Preservation Month Activities

ACTION: Discussion held, no action taken.

4. Report on the City Website for Historic DPRs for 50 Year Old Properties

ACTION: Discussion held, no action taken.

5. Upcoming Training Opportunities: Brown Act Training, April 29, 2021, 5-7 pm (Zoom Webinar); Santa Barbara Trust for Historic Preservation Lecture Series (sbthp.org/lectures); California Preservation Foundation Training

ACTION: Discussion held, no action taken.

6. Upcoming Historic Preservation Commission Item: Loudon to London Nelson Renaming to be heard May 19, 2021.

ACTION: Discussion held, no action taken.

Subcommittee/Advisory Body Oral Reports-None.

Adjournment-The meeting adjourned at 8:34 pm.

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

Minutes

June 16, 2021

7:00 P.M. **GENERAL BUSINESS AND MATTERS OF PUBLIC INTEREST, COUNCIL CHAMBERS**

Call to Order-The meeting was called to order at 7:03 p.m.

Roll Call-Commissioners Kusz, Bliss, Narath, Subocz, Michalak and Lauritson were present.

Absent w/Notification-Commissioner Diego

Statements of Disqualification-None

Oral Communications-None

Approval of Minutes

1. Approval of the minutes of May 19, 2021
By consensus, the Commission continued approval of the minutes of May 19, 2021 to the next regularly scheduled meeting.

Public Hearings

2. 415 Mott Avenue Project No: CP20-0086 Historic Alteration Permit to construct a two-story addition on a single family residence on a substandard lot located in the R-L zone district and within the Seabright Area Plan.

MOTION: Motion made by Commissioner Bliss, seconded by Commissioner Michalak to acknowledge the environmental determination and approve the Historic Alteration Permit based on the Findings listed below and the attached Conditions of Approval in Exhibit "A" of the staff report dated May 21, 2021.

ACTION: The motion passed by the following vote:
AYES: Kusz, Bliss, Narath, Subocz, Michalak, Lauritson
NOES: None

ABSENT: Diego

[Information Items](#)

[Subcommittee/Advisory Body Oral Reports](#)

[Items Initiated by Members for Future Agendas](#)

[Adjournment](#)- The meeting adjourned at 7:57 p.m.

CITY OF SANTA CRUZ
City Hall
809 Center Street
Santa Cruz, California 95060

HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

MINUTES Special Meeting October 14, 2020

7:00 P.M. GENERAL BUSINESS AND MATTERS OF PUBLIC INTEREST, ZOOM WEBINAR

Call to Order-The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.

Roll Call-Commissioners Lauritson, Bliss, Narath, Kusz, Gibson, and Michalak were present.

Absent w/notification-Commissioner Diego (see Statements of Disqualification)

Statements of Disqualification-Commissioner Diego recused himself to avoid the appearance of a conflict due to his providing contracted professional services for a building on the Wharf.

Public Hearings

6. Santa Cruz Wharf Master Plan and Environmental Determination

David Mc Cormic, Asset and Development Manager, presented the item to the Commission.

The public hearing was opened.

The following members of the public addressed the Commission: Fred Geiger, Gillian Greensite, Robin Brune, unidentified speaker, Katin Christiansen, unidentified speaker.

The public hearing is closed.

MOTION: Motion made by Commissioner Lauritson, seconded by Commissioner Bliss, to recommend that the City Council adopt the resolution certifying the Final Environmental Impact Report (Attachment 1), the Resolution of CEQA Findings and Findings of Fact and Final Environmental Impact Report and a Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan (Attachment 2), and The Santa Cruz Wharf Master Plan, subject to modifications proposed by staff with the following additional recommendations: 1) add the following sentence to page 5 of The Wharf Master Plan, 'The Wharf is designated as a historic structure in the 1976 Volume 1 of the City Historic Building Survey and the review of such buildings and the additions to their sites is required by City Ordinance.' 2) on page 52 of The Wharf Master

Plan, add the following paragraph, 'Historic Alteration Permits reviewed by the Historic Preservation Commission shall be required for future modifications to the Wharf structure itself, and for the new proposed buildings and improvements around the perimeter of the Wharf, as well as any new construction 3000 square feet or larger, and shall undergo review by a qualified historic architect for consistency with the Secretary of the Interior Rehabilitation Standards.'

ACTION: Motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Lauritson, Bliss, Narath, Kusz, Gibson, and Michalak

NOES: None

ABSENT: Diego (see Statements of Disqualification)

MOTION: Motion by Commissioner Kusz, seconded by Commissioner Lauritson, to recommend any new buildings, as well as other strategic areas where interpretive elements can be incorporated, should include historical interpretive elements of the history of the Santa Cruz Wharf.

ACTION: Motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Lauritson, Bliss, Narath, Kusz, Gibson, and Michalak

NOES: None

ABSENT: Diego (see Statements of Disqualification)

MOTION: Motion made by Commissioner Lauritson, seconded by Commissioner Bliss, to recommend the Building Height Section of the Design Standards on page 49 of the Wharf Master Plan should be revised to read as follows: "Building Height: Second floor uses and roof top dining are encouraged within a maximum building height of 35 feet for commercial in-line building. For the three landmark buildings, the maximum height shall be 35 feet, not including special appurtenances such as flag poles and architectural projections, consistent with the existing zoning.

ACTION: Motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Lauritson, Bliss, Narath, Kusz, Gibson, and Michalak

NOES: None

ABSENT: Diego (see Statements of Disqualification)

Adjournment-The meeting adjourned at 10:39 p.m.

CITY OF SANTA CRUZ
City Hall
809 Center Street
Santa Cruz, California 95060



HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION

ACTION SUMMARY
Regular Meeting
November 18, 2020

7:00 P.M. GENERAL BUSINESS AND MATTERS OF PUBLIC INTEREST, ZOOM WEBINAR

Call to Order-The meeting was called to order at 7:08 p.m.

Roll Call-Commissioners Gibson, Kusz, Narath, Bliss, Diego, and Michalak were present.

Absent w/notification-Commissioner Lauritson was absent with notification.

Statements of Disqualification-None.

Oral Communications

Approval of Minutes

1. Approve the minutes of August 5, 2020.
2. Approve the minutes of October 14, 2020.

MOTION: Motion made by Commissioner Michalak, seconded by Commissioner Gibson, to continue approval of the August 5, 2020 and October 14, 2020 minutes to the next regular meeting of the Historic Preservation Commission.

ACTION: Motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Gibson, Kusz, Narath, Diego, Bliss, and Michalak

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Lauritson

General Business

3. Discussion recommending removing the Mission Bell near the Hindquarter at 303 Soquel Avenue, Santa Cruz.

MOTION: Motion made by Commissioner Kusz, seconded by Commissioner Bliss to recommend to the City Council the removal of the remaining mission bell marker in Santa Cruz and direct the Stakeholder Committee to utilize the bell for purposes of interpretation and education to convey the full and accurate history of Santa Cruz.

ACTION: The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Gibson, Kusz, Narath, Diego, Bliss, and Michalak

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Lauritson

MOTION: Motion made by Commissioner Narath, seconded by Commissioner Bliss, in recognition of the full context of the bell sited at the Hindquarter, which was directed at presenting the history of Branciforte as a community, to recommend a similar commemoration be placed in a suitable location to convey the history of Branciforte.

ACTION: The motion passed by the following vote:

AYES: Gibson, Kusz, Narath, Diego, Bliss, and Michalak

NOES: None

ABSTAIN: None

ABSENT: Lauritson

Information Items

Adjournment-The meeting adjourned at 9:24 p.m.