

KEYSER MARSTON ASSOCIATES...

DRAFT

FINANCIAL FEASIBLITY ANALYSIS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING RENTAL RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT

Prepared for:

City of Santa Cruz

Prepared by:

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc.

June 12, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. C	OVERVIEW	1
A.	Context	
В.	Key Court Cases and Statutes	
C.	Inclusionary Housing Program Characteristics	4
II. P	METHODOLOGY	7
A.	Parameters	
В.	Financial Feasibility Analyses	9
III. F	RESIDENTIAL PROTOTYPES	9
IV. I	MPACTS CREATED BY INCLUSIONARY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS	12
V. F	PRO FORMA ANALYSES	13
VI. F	FINDINGS	18
A.	Base Zoning Alternatives	
В.	Density Bonus Alternatives	19
VII. F	RECOMMENDED PROGRAM DESIGN	20

ATTACHMENTS

Attachment I: Pro Forma Analyses - Downtown Base Density: 90 Units Per Acre

Exhibit A: Market Rate Alternative

Exhibit B: 15% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit C: 25% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit D: 35% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit E: Feasible Inclusionary Percentage Alternative

Attachment II: Pro Forma Analyses – Downtown: 35% Density Bonus

Exhibit A: Market Rate Alternative

Exhibit B: 15% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit C: 25% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit D: 35% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit E: Feasible Inclusionary Percentage Alternative

Attachment III: Pro Forma Analyses – Non-Downtown Base Density: 35 Units Per Acre

Exhibit A: Market Rate Alternative

Exhibit B: 15% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit C: 25% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit D: 35% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit E: Feasible Inclusionary Percentage Alternative

Attachment IV: Pro Forma Analyses – Non-Downtown: 35% Density Bonus

Exhibit A: Market Rate Alternative

Exhibit B: 15% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit C: 25% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit D: 35% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative

Exhibit E: Feasible Inclusionary Percentage Alternative

Attachment V: Backup Tables

Exhibit A: Rent Surveys

Exhibit B: Affordable Rent Calculations

Attachment VI: KMA Experience

I. OVERVIEW

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. (KMA) prepared the following analysis to assist the City of Santa Cruz (City) in updating the City's existing Inclusionary Housing Ordinance. This analysis covers the following topics:

- 1. The influence that recent court cases and legislation have on the modifications that can and should be made to the City's existing Inclusionary Housing Ordinance; and
- 2. Financial testing using pro forma analyses designed to evaluate the feasibility of altering the percentage of affordable housing units that are required to be developed in new rental residential projects in Santa Cruz.

A. Context

Over 170 jurisdictions in California currently include an Inclusionary Housing program as a component in their overall affordable housing strategy. While the unifying foundation of these programs is the objective to attract affordable housing development, the characteristics of these programs vary widely from jurisdiction-to-jurisdiction.

The City's existing Inclusionary Housing Ordinance was adopted following the passage of Measure O, a voter initiative that requires residential developers to fulfill defined affordable housing requirements. The Measure O requirements were codified in Part 1 of Chapter 24.16 of the Santa Cruz Municipal Code, and went into effect on January 15, 2007. The City's Inclusionary Housing Ordinance has been modified over time to reflect changes in the real estate market, to incentivize developers to construct rental residential projects and to maintain compliance with State laws pertaining to affordable housing.

Over the past several years the region has rebounded from the global real estate recession, and as a result, rents and sales prices in Santa Cruz have been escalating rapidly. This continues to intensify the demand for affordable housing in Santa Cruz.

The 2012 termination of redevelopment in California eliminated the most significant financial resource available to the City to assist in the production of affordable housing. In addition, over the past several years the amount of Federal funding for affordable housing has steadily decreased. As these outside affordable housing program resources continue to decline, and as rents and sales prices continue to escalate, the City has begun exploring the options for updating the existing Inclusionary Housing Ordinance to assist in increasing the supply of affordable housing in Santa Cruz.

B. Key Court Cases and Statutes

It is helpful to review the key legal cases and State legislation that guide the creation and implementation of Inclusionary Housing programs. A chronological summary of the relevant cases and legislation follows.

Palmer Case

In 2009, the California Court of Appeal ruled in *Palmer/Sixth Street Properties L.P. v. City of Los Angeles*, 175 Cal. App. 4th 1396 (*Palmer*), that the local affordable housing requirements being imposed by the City of Los Angeles violated the Costa-Hawkins Rental residential Act (Costa-Hawkins). Specifically, Costa-Hawkins allows landlords to set the initial monthly rent for a new unit, and then to increase the monthly rent to the market level each time a unit is vacated. The Court found that the imposition of long-term income and affordability restrictions on rental residential units is a violation of this provision.

It is commonly believed that the *Palmer* ruling prohibits jurisdictions from requiring developers to construct affordable rental residential units as a part of their Inclusionary Housing program. In an effort to comply with *Palmer*, many jurisdictions eliminated the requirement that market rate rental residential projects provide affordable rental residential units. Instead, some jurisdictions replaced affordable housing production models with a linkage or impact fee methodology.

San Jose Case

In 2015, the California Supreme Court ruled in the *California Building Industry Association v. City of San Jose*, 61 Cal 4th 435 (*San Jose*) that Inclusionary Housing Ordinances should be viewed as use restrictions that are a valid exercise of a jurisdiction's zoning powers. Specifically, the Court found that Inclusionary Housing requirements are a planning tool rather than an exaction. This, in turn, means that Inclusionary Housing Ordinances are not subject to the requirements imposed by the "Mitigation Fee Act".¹

It is important to note that the *San Jose* ruling only applies to ownership residential projects. The *San Jose* case did not overturn the limitations on rental Inclusionary Housing requirements that were imposed by *Palmer*. Nonetheless, the San Jose case is relevant to rental Inclusionary Housing, because Governor Brown publicly stated that he would not sign a "*Palmer Fix*" bill unless and until the California Supreme Court ruled in favor of the City of San Jose. As such, the ruling opened the door for the subsequent passage and adoption of Assembly Bill (AB) 1505 in September of 2017.

AB 1505

AB 1505 amends Section 65850 of the California Government Code, and adds Section 65850.01. This new legislation provides jurisdictions with the ability to adopt Ordinances that require rental residential projects to include a defined percentage of affordable housing units.

AB 1505 does not place a cap on the percentage of units that can be subject to income and affordability restrictions. However, if an Inclusionary Housing Ordinance imposes affordability requirements on more than 15% of the units in a rental project and/or the income restriction is set at the very-low or extremely low income categories, the California Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) can require the jurisdiction to prepare an economic feasibility study to support the proposed requirements.

_

¹ The Mitigation Fee Act is codified in California Government Code §66000 et seq.

In all likelihood this report meets the economic feasibility study standards defined in AB 1505. However, if the City chooses to impose a greater than 15% affordability requirement and/or deeper affordability standards, HCD can potentially intervene in the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance adoption process. This could extend and complicate the approval process.

AB 1505 also requires jurisdictions with rental residential Inclusionary Housing programs to provide developers with alternatives to the on-site production of the required affordable housing units. The options that must be provided to developers include, but are not limited to, off-site construction of affordable units, payment of a fee in-lieu of producing affordable housing units, land dedication, and the acquisition and rehabilitation of existing units.

C. Inclusionary Housing Program Characteristics

Following the Palmer decision, the City stopped imposing Inclusionary Housing requirements on rental residential projects. In 2016, the City staff engaged KMA to prepare a financial analysis, in accordance with the Mitigation Fee Act, to support the imposition of an impact fee on rental residential development. With the passage of AB 1505, it became more advantageous to reinstate Inclusionary Housing obligations on rental residential projects for the following basic reasons:

- Inclusionary Housing programs have the flexibility to be structured to meet current and future unmet needs for affordable housing, without regard for the cause of the shortfall.
 Impact fee programs can only be used to meet future demand.
- 2. Inclusionary housing programs are generally focused on the production of affordable housing within market rate developments. Under an impact fee model, the City runs the risk that it will take an extended time period to collect sufficient revenue to be able to assist in the production of a meaningful number of affordable units.

3. Inclusionary Housing programs typically include an in-lieu fee option. In-lieu fee revenues can be structured to generate an equal or greater amount of revenue than could be produced by an impact fee.

Over the course of the past year, the City convened a working group of stakeholders in the community to discuss modifications that could be made to improve the Inclusionary Housing program. As a result of these discussions, the City staff has identified a variety of changes that are being considered for inclusion in an updated Inclusionary Housing Ordinance. The potential modifications being evaluated in this analysis can be described as follows:

- 1. The existing Inclusionary Housing Ordinance requires that 15% of units in new rental residential projects be set aside for households earning less than 80% of the area median income (AMI). The City staff commissioned this KMA study to evaluate the percentage requirements that can be supported under the financial feasibility requirements imposed by AB 1505.
- 2. In jurisdictions with disparate real estate and demographic conditions it is common to impose varying requirements based on defined subareas. The City staff has determined that it would be advisable to vary the restrictions between Downtown and Non-Downtown subareas. This analysis includes pro forma testing for both subareas.
- 3. The existing Inclusionary Housing Ordinance includes a provision that allows developers to pay a fee in lieu of producing affordable units. The in-lieu fee amount is based on the "affordability gap" between the achievable market rents and the designated affordable rents for the Inclusionary Units. A sample calculation is presented in the following table:

One-Bedroom Units	
Market Rate Rent @ \$2,540 per month ²	\$30,480
Inclusionary Rent at \$1,334 per month ³	\$16,008
Reduction in Annual Income	\$14,472
(Less) Property Tax Savings ⁴	(\$3,184)
Net Reduction in Annual Income	\$11,288
Affordability Gap Per Inclusionary Unit ⁵	\$168,478
Inclusionary Housing Percentage	15%
In-Lieu Fee Per Market Rate Unit ⁶	\$25,271
Average Unit Size (Square Feet)	725
In-Lieu Fee Per Square Foot of Building Area	\$34.86

The existing Inclusionary Housing Ordinance provides developers with a range of options for fulfilling the affordable housing requirements that comports with the requirements imposed by the recently adopted AB 1505. To remain in compliance with the AB 1505 requirements, the following options must be offered to the developers of rental residential projects that are subject to the City's Inclusionary Housing requirements:

 On-site production of the affordable units within the new market rate rental residential project;

² As a result of working group discussions, the City staff is recommending that the value of the market rate units be determined using an appraisal process.

³ The Inclusionary rents for one-bedroom units are calculated based on 80% of AMI for a two-person household, and 30% of income is allotted to housing related expenses.

⁴ The reduction in the project's value is estimated based on the \$11,288 decrease in annual income capitalized at a 5% rate. The property tax rate is set at 1.1% of the property's assessed value.

⁵ The affordability gap is equal to the value of the net reduction in annual income. This is estimated by capitalizing the net reduction in annual income at the threshold return on total investment of 6.7%.

⁶ The in-lieu fee per market rate unit is equal to the affordability gap per Inclusionary unit multiplied times the Inclusionary Housing percentage.

2. Construction of a defined percentage of income restricted units in a project located in

an off-site location;

3. Payment of a fee in lieu of producing affordable housing units that will subsequently be

used by the City to assist in the development of affordable housing units within the

community;

4. The dedication of land to the jurisdiction that is appropriate for the development of

affordable housing; and

5. The acquisition and rehabilitation of existing units that are then subjected to long-term

income and affordability covenants.

The KMA analysis is focused on the impacts associated with the production of affordable

housing units.

II. METHODOLOGY

The purpose of this analysis is to identify the affordable housing requirements that can be

supported in rental residential projects in the Downtown and Non-Downtown subareas. The

alternatives that KMA evaluated are:

A 15% Inclusionary Requirement

A 25% Inclusionary Requirement

A 35% Inclusionary Requirement

A Feasible Inclusionary Percentage

A fundamental premise of this analysis is that the affordability standards imposed on rental

residential projects by the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance will continue to be applied. These

standards can be summarized as follows:

- 1. The affordable rents are calculated based on a benchmark household size of the number of bedrooms in the unit plus one. For example, the benchmark household size for a two-bedroom unit is three persons.⁷
- 2. The affordable rent is calculated based on 80% of the AMI for the benchmark household size.
- 3. Thirty percent (30%) of the defined household income is allotted to housing related expenses.
- 4. In this analysis, KMA assumed that the tenants will be required to pay for gas heating, cooking and water heating; and basic electric services. The utilities allowances placed into effect by the Santa Cruz County Housing Authority on October 1, 2017 were applied in this analysis.
- 5. The designated income remaining after deducting the utilities allowance is defined as the affordable rent.

The financial feasibility analysis is comprised of the following steps:

A. Parameters

As the first step in the evaluation process it is necessary to identify the parameters that will be applied in the analysis. A fundamental premise is that the Inclusionary Housing program should not place an onerous financial burden on the developers of market rate housing. Within that context, it is clear that Inclusionary Housing can only be expected to fulfill a portion of the unmet need for affordable housing in Santa Cruz.

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. 1805016.SC

⁷ The benchmark household sizes are used solely for the purposes of calculating the affordable rent. Income qualification for the Inclusionary Units is based on the actual household size and income of a prospective tenant.

B. Financial Feasibility Analyses

The courts have held that affordable housing is a "public benefit," and that locally imposed Inclusionary Housing Ordinances are a legitimate means of providing this public benefit as long as the following conditions are met:

- 1. The requirements are not "confiscatory"; and
- 2. The requirements do not deprive a property owner of a "fair and reasonable return".

Neither of these terms have been defined by the courts. To follow a conservative course, the KMA financial feasibility analysis is based on the parameters that have been applied to a significant number of Inclusionary Housing programs in place in California jurisdictions.

KMA prepared financial analyses to assist in creating Inclusionary Housing requirements that balance the interests of property owners and developers against the public benefit created by the production of income restricted units. Based on these analyses, KMA identified recommended Inclusionary Housing production requirements for the Downtown and the Non-Downtown subareas.

III. RESIDENTIAL PROTOTYPES

The residential prototypes used in this analysis were created based on the results of the KMA market surveys, and a review of projects that have recently been constructed in Santa Cruz. The KMA market surveys were also used to estimate the achievable market rate rents for the prototype units in the two subareas.

The key characteristics of the prototype projects utilized in this Inclusionary Housing Analysis are summarized in the following tables:

Downtown Prototype Rental Residential Prototype				
	Base Zoning	35% Density Bonus		
Site Area (Square Feet)	48,400	48,400		
Total Number of Units	100	135		
Density (Units Per Acre)	90	122		
<u>Unit Mix</u>				
Studio Units	34	46		
One-Bedroom Units	40	54		
Two-Bedroom Units	26	35		
Average Unit Sizes (Sq Ft)				
Studio Units	340	340		
One-Bedroom Units	620	620		
Two-Bedroom Units	927	927		
Gross Building Area (Square Feet)	76,565	103,286		
Number of Parking Spaces	126	85		
Spaces Per Unit	1.26	.63		

Key features of the Downtown subarea prototypes are:

- 1. Based on the estimated height of the building, the use of a 35% density bonus requires the project to be constructed using Type III construction. Comparatively, the project that can be developed under the base zoning is assumed to be Type V wood frame construction.
- 2. This analysis assumes that projects located in the Downtown subarea can make use of the reduced parking standards allowed by the Section 65915 density bonus while

maintaining the project's marketability. As a result, the reduction in parking costs enhances the project economics.

Non-Downtown Prototype Rental Residential Prototype				
	Base Zoning	35% Density Bonus		
Site Area (Square Feet)	124,400	124,400		
Total Number of Units	100	135		
Density (Units Per Acre)	35	47		
<u>Unit Mix</u>				
Studio Units	11	15		
One-Bedroom Units	49	66		
Two-Bedroom Units	40	54		
Average Unit Sizes (Sq Ft)				
Studio Units	288	288		
One-Bedroom Units	724	724		
Two-Bedroom Units	917	917		
Gross Building Area (Square Feet)	94,181	127,063		
Number of Parking Spaces	140	189		
Spaces Per Unit	1.4	1.4		

Key features of the Non-Downtown subarea prototypes are:

 It is assumed that projects located in the Non-Downtown subarea will need to provide parking at traditional parking ratios in order to effectively market the units. This precludes the use of the Section 65915 density bonus parking standards. 2. The use of a 35% density bonus creates a development scope that would likely need a structured parking component. This reduces the financial benefits created by the Section 65915 density bonus.

IV. IMPACTS CREATED BY INCLUSIONARY HOUSING REQUIREMENTS

As discussed previously in this analysis, AB 1505 amended the California Government Code to expressly allow Inclusionary Housing requirements to be imposed on rental residential projects. However, it is important to remember that Inclusionary Housing requirements cannot deprive a property owner of a fair and reasonable return. Recognizing that the courts have not defined this term, the City has some discretion in establishing evaluation parameters.

It is likely some form of the following sequence of events will occur when the City begins imposing Inclusionary Housing requirements on rental residential projects again:

- The immediate response will be that the financial impacts created by the imposition of the affordable housing requirements will largely be borne by developers that had purchased property prior to the City reinstating the requirements.
- 2. After the requirements are put in place, developers that have not purchased property will attempt to bargain for a lower land price that reflects the impacts created by the Inclusionary Housing requirements.
- 3. If the Inclusionary Housing requirements are too stringent, it may be more advantageous for a property owner to sell their site to users or developers for non-residential uses. This is a particularly likely scenario for improved properties.
- 4. If reasonable Inclusionary Housing requirements are imposed, it is likely that over time land prices will adjust to reflect the value supported by the market given the restrictions imposed on the property.

It is likely that the reinstating Inclusionary Housing requirements on rental residential projects will impact the values supported by properties that are subject to the requirements. However, the courts have found that this is permissible as long as the property owner is not deprived of a fair and reasonable return. A significant number of California Inclusionary Housing programs have been based on a projected land value reduction in the 30% range. In turn, this KMA analysis is focused on identifying income and affordability standards that would fall within that parameter.

V. PRO FORMA ANALYSES

A variety of tools are available to reduce the financial impact associated with the imposition of income and affordability restrictions on rental residential projects. For 100% affordable housing projects, Low-Income Housing Tax Credit financing is commonly used to fill the financial gap. For mixed-income projects, the California Government Code Sections 65915 - 65918 (Section 65915) density bonus is often used.

In July 2013, the First District Court of Appeal held that jurisdictions must agree to apply Inclusionary Housing units toward the fulfillment of the affordable unit requirements imposed by the Section 65915 density bonus.⁸ In addition, both the Section 65915 density bonus and the City's Inclusionary Housing Ordinance affordable housing requirements can only be applied to the units permitted under a site' base zoning.

The low income rents applied under the City's Inclusionary Housing Ordinance are higher than the low income rents applied under Section 65915. Therefore, the City must allow a developer to count each affordable unit towards the fulfillment of both the Inclusionary Housing requirements and the Section 65915 density bonus requirements.

_

⁸ Latinos Unidos del Valle de Napa y Solano v. County of Napa, 217 Cal. App. 4th 1160 (Napa).

⁹ The Inclusionary rents are calculated based on 80% of AMI with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. The low income rents under Section 65915 are calculated based on 60% of AMI with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses.

The Section 65915 density bonus can act to materially reduce the financial impacts created by Inclusionary Housing requirements. For that reason, jurisdictions that impose Inclusionary Housing requirements should recognize the extreme likelihood that many developers will pursue Section 65915 density bonuses.

To evaluate the impacts created by the imposition of Inclusionary Housing requirements, KMA prepared the following pro forma analyses for the prototype projects in the two subareas:

1. Base Zoning Alternatives:

- a. A 100% market rate unit alternative; and
- b. Inclusionary Housing alternatives based on the 2018 affordable rents allowed under the existing Inclusionary Housing Ordinance calculation methodology.

2. Density Bonus Alternatives:

- A project cost analysis of a density bonus alternative that maximizes the Section
 65915 density bonus; and
- Inclusionary Housing Alternatives based on affordable rents that are the lesser of the Inclusionary Housing Ordinance standards and the rent calculation methodology imposed by Section 65915.¹⁰

Market Rate Development Alternatives

The 100% market rate unit alternatives provide a baseline against which to measure the impacts associated with affordable housing requirements. The purpose of the 100% market rate scenarios is to estimate the developer's stabilized return on total investment for a project

-

¹⁰ Section 65915 applies the rent calculation methodology defined in California Health and Safety Code Section 50053.

that is not encumbered by income and affordability restrictions. The pro forma analyses for the 100% market rate unit scenarios are organized as follows:

Ва	se Case: 100% Market Rate Alternatives
Table 1:	Estimated Development Costs
Table 2:	Estimated Stabilized Net Operating Income
Table 3:	Estimated Developer Return

Market Rate Rents

In the April of 2018, KMA surveyed rental residential projects that Costar rated with three stars or higher (Attachment V – Exhibit A). The survey results were used to create prototypes the represent typical rental residential projects in each subarea. The characteristics of actual projects will vary to some degree from the prototypes.

The market rate rents that are used in this analysis are presented in the following table.

Estimated Market Rate Rents					
	Downtown		Non-Downtown		
	Monthly Rent		Monthly Rent		
Number of Bedrooms			Total	Per Square Foot	
0	\$2,027	\$5.97	\$1,403	\$4.88	
1	\$3,123	\$5.03	\$2,540	\$3.51	
2	\$4,399	\$4.59	\$3,107	\$3.39	

Estimated Developer Return

The estimated stabilized developer returns derived from the 100% market rate scenarios are presented in the following table:

Stabilized Developer Return			
Market Rate Alternatives			
Developer Subarea Return			
Downtown	6.3%		
Non-Downtown	6.7%		

Inclusionary Housing Production Alternatives

Under Section 65915, a project can receive a 35% density bonus by providing 11% of the base zoning units to very-low income households, or 20% of the base zoning units to low income households. Based on the 2018 income information published by HCD, the current Section 65915 and City Inclusionary rents are presented in the following table. ¹¹

	2018 Affordable Rents					
Very-Low Income Low Income						
	Section 65915 Density Bonus	Section 65915 Density Bonus	City Inclusionary			
Number of Bedrooms						
0	\$725	\$878	\$1,182			
1	\$812	\$986	\$1,334			
2	\$909	\$1,105	\$1,496			

As can be seen in the preceding table, the Section 65915 rents are significantly lower than the City's Inclusionary rents. To create the most financially efficient scenarios, the KMA density bonus analyses allocate 11% of the base zoning units to very-low income households, and the

¹¹ The rents are net of the applicable utilities allowances.

rents applied to the balance of the affordable units are based on the City's Inclusionary Housing Ordinance requirements.

The pro forma analyses for the Inclusionary Housing production alternatives are organized as follows:

Inclusionary Housing Production Alternatives			
Table 1:	Estimated Development Costs		
Table 2:	Estimated Stabilized Net Operating Income		
Table 3:	Inclusionary Housing Impacts		

As discussed previously, the KMA financial feasibility analyses target a financial impact equal to a +/- 30% reduction in land value. The results of the KMA pro forma analyses, which estimated the impacts under the various alternatives, are presented in the following tables:

Base Zoning: Inclusionary Housing Production Analysis Estimated Percentage Decrease in Land Cost					
Downtown Non-Downtown					
Inclusionary Requirement as a % of Total Units in the Project					
15%	49%	42%			
25%	81%	70%			
35%	114%	99%			
Feasible Percentage 9% 10%					

Based on the findings in the *Napa* case, jurisdictions cannot impose Inclusionary Housing requirements on the additional units a developer receives by invoking the Section 65915 density bonus. Thus, the Inclusionary Housing production requirement must be calculated against the number of units permitted under the property's base zoning standards.

It should be noted that as long as the percentage of units that must be affordable is measured against the number of units allowed by the base zoning, the City can impose a higher Inclusionary Housing percentage requirement than is applied under Section 65915. However, to provide an apples-to-apples comparison with the results of the base zoning analyses, the following table illustrates the percentages of affordable units measured against the total number of units in the project. It is important to remember that the Inclusionary Housing percentage the City ultimately chooses to impose will actually need to be applied against the number of units allowed by the base zoning.

Downtown Subarea: 35% Density Bonus: Inclusionary Housing Production Analysis			
Estimate	d Percentage Decrea	se in Land Cost ¹²	
Inclusionary Requirement as a % of Total Units in the Project			
	15%	38%	
	25%	85%	
	35%	127%	
Feasi	ble Percentage	12.5%	

VI. FINDINGS

The results of the preceding Inclusionary Housing financial feasibility analysis can be summarized as follows:

¹² The prototypes for the non-downtown subarea were excluded from this analysis, because the use of the Section 65915 density bonus was less financially advantageous than developing at the base zoning.

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. 1805016.SC

A. Base Zoning Alternatives

- Under current conditions a 15% Inclusionary Housing requirement can only be supported if the City provides financial assistance to the project, or if incentives can be identified that add substantial value to the project or materially reduce project costs.
- 2. It is KMA's opinion that the imposition of a 25% Inclusionary Housing requirement creates an onerous financial burden that cannot reasonably be absorbed by property owners and/or residential developers. It is further KMA's conclusion that the City would not be able to demonstrate the financial feasibility of a 25% Inclusionary Housing requirement if HCD exercised their right to require an economic feasibility study.
- 3. The pro forma analyses indicate that it would be necessary for the City to donate a development site to a project at no cost, and to provide a significant amount of public financial assistance to a project, to mitigate the financial impacts created by imposing a 35% Inclusionary Housing requirement.
- 4. Based on the results of the preceding financial analysis, the feasible requirements for projects developed at the base zoning are estimated 9% of the total units for the Downtown subarea and 10% of the total units for the Non-Downtown subarea.

B. Density Bonus Alternatives

- 1. The Section 65915 density bonus can potentially provide significant financial benefit to rental residential project in the Downtown subarea. For example, KMA estimates that Inclusionary Housing requirements can be imposed on 12.5% of the total units in a project if a 35% density bonus is used. If incentives and concessions can be identified that materially enhance a project's value or result in significant cost savings, a 15% Inclusionary Housing requirement may be viable.
- 2. In the Non-Downtown subarea, parking costs are actually projected to increase under the density bonus alternatives. As a result, the Section 65915 density bonus is not

- anticipated to provide the financial benefits needed to support a significantly higher Inclusionary Housing percentage than was supported under the base zoning alternative.
- 3. Both the 25% and 35% of total units Section 65915 density bonus/Inclusionary Housing alternatives demonstrate larger financial impacts than the analogous alternatives that do not use the Section 65915 density bonus. It is KMA's opinion that Inclusionary Housing obligations of this magnitude generate an onerous burden that would require substantial public sector financial assistance to ameliorate.

VII. RECOMMENDED PROGRAM DESIGN

- 1. KMA recommends that the City set the Inclusionary Housing requirements for rental residential development at the following percentages:
 - a. The feasibility analysis supports an Inclusionary Housing requirement equal to between 9% and 12.5% of the total units developed in a project. In this context, it is important to consider that if the standard is set at a higher percentage than 15% of the units allowed by the base zoning, HCD can intervene in the approval process. To avoid this extra layer of oversight, KMA recommends that the Downtown subarea Inclusionary Housing requirement be set at no more than 15% of the base units allowed by a site's zoning.
 - b. In the Non-Downtown subarea, the percentage should be set at 10% of the units allowed by a site's base zoning. This recommendation reflects the fact that the Section 65915 density bonus is currently not anticipated to significantly enhance the economic characteristics of rental residential projects in the Non-Downtown subarea.
 - c. The Section 65915 density bonus is only available to residential projects with five or more units. Since the density bonus benefits are not available to these projects, KMA recommends that the Inclusionary Housing requirement for

projects with fewer than five units be set at 10% of the base units allowed by the site's zoning.

- 2. The rental residential Inclusionary Housing requirements should be phased in over a defined time period. This will mitigate the financial impacts experienced by apartment developers that have already purchased property.
- 3. A clear set of administrative procedures should be drafted in support of the rental residential Inclusionary Housing requirements.
- 4. The rental residential Inclusionary Housing program should be updated at regular intervals to reflect changes in market and demographic conditions in the two subareas.

		DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE	DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS	NON- DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE	NON- DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS
ı.	Project Description				
	A. Site Area (Sf)	48,400	48,400	124,400	124,400
	B. Total Units	100	135	100	135
	C. Density (Units/Acre)	90	122	35	47
	D. <u>Unit Mix</u> Studio/SRO Units	34	46	11	15
	One-Bedroom Units	40	54	49	66
	Two-Bedroom Units	26	35	49	54
	TWO-Deartoom omits				
	Total Units	100	135	100	135
	E. Gross Building Area (Sf)	76,565	103,286	94,181	127,063
	F. Number of Parking Spaces Provided	126	85	140	189
	Parking Spaces Per Unit	1.26	0.63	1.40	1.40
II.	<u>Development Costs - Market Rate Alternative</u>				
	A. Property Acquisition Costs	\$7,986,000	\$7,986,000	\$6,220,000	\$6,220,000
	Per Square Foot of Land Area	\$165	\$165	\$50	\$50
	B. Direct Costs	\$21,020,000	\$28,500,000	\$16,460,000	\$23,342,000
	Per Square Foot of Gross Building Area	\$275	\$276	\$175	\$184
	C. Indirect + Financing Costs	\$10,622,000	\$14,105,000	\$8,513,000	\$11,618,000
	As a % of Direct Costs	51%	49%	52%	50%
	Total Development Costs - Market Rate Alternative	\$39,628,000	\$50,591,000	\$31,193,000	\$41,180,000
	Per Unit	\$396,300	\$374,700	\$311,900	\$305,000
III.	Stabilized Net Operating Income - Market Rate Alternative	\$2,503,000	N/A	\$2,086,000	N/A
IV.	Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative	6.3%	6.3% ¹	6.7%	6.7% ²

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates

File name: Inclusionary Feasibility Study 6 12 18; Summary

Based on the Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative for the DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE.

Based on the Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative for the NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE.

	DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE	DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS	NON- DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE	NON- DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS
Affordable Housing Scenarios				3
A. 15% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative Land Value Reduction Required to Maintain the Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative or Land Value Maintained: Estimated Percentage	49%	38%	42%	NA
Reduction in Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative	10%	6%	8%	NA
B. 25% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative Land Value Reduction Required to Maintain the Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative or Land Value Maintained: Estimated Percentage Reduction in Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative	81%	85%	70% 14%	NA NA
C. 35% Inclusionary Requirement Alternative Land Value Reduction Required to Maintain the Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative or Land Value Maintained: Estimated Percentage Reduction in Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative	114%	127%	99%	NA NA
Attendative	23/0	2070	20/0	IVA
D. Feasible Inclusionary Percentage Alternative Inclusionary Percentage	9.0%	12.5%	10.0%	NA
Land Value Reduction Required to Maintain the Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative or	29%	29%	29%	NA
Land Value Maintained: Estimated Percentage Reduction in Return on Total Investment - Market Rate Alternative	6%	5%	6%	NA

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates

File name: Inclusionary Feasibility Study 6 12 18; Summary

The use of a Section 65915 density bonus for the non-downtown subarea properties was determined to be less financially advanageous than developing at the base zoning.

ATTACHMENT I

PRO FORMA ANALYSES DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE

ENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping Parking	3	48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces			Spaces	\$25,000		3,150,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA	13,399,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Costs	5	•	3,503,000	
	Total Direct Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$275	/Sf of GBA		\$21,020,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,102,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	100	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			631,000	
	Marketing		100	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,051,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Cos	sts		364,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$7,648,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$31,642,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	1,709,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	546,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,974,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		100	Units	\$316,000	/Unit		\$31,642,000
	Total Development Cost			Units	\$396,000	•		\$39,628,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ı.	Gross Income						
A	Market Rate Units 1						
	Studio/SRO Units	34	Units @	\$2,027	/Unit/Month	\$827,000	
	One-Bedroom Units	40	Units @	\$3,123	/Unit/Month	1,499,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units	26	Units @	\$4,399	/Unit/Month	1,372,000	
В	. Affordable Units						
	Studio/SRO Units	0	Units @	\$0	/Unit/Month	0	
	One-Bedroom Units	0	Units @	\$0	/Unit/Month	0	
	Two-Bedroom Units	0	Units @	\$0	/Unit/Month	0	
C	. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income	100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	
	Total Gross Income						\$3,710,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance	5%	Gross Income			_	(186,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income						\$3,524,000
III.	Operating Expenses						
	General Operating Expenses	100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
	Property Taxes	100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	501,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits	100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
	Total Operating Expenses						(\$1,021,000)
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income						\$2,503,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$5.03 per square foot of leasable area.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 3

Return on Total Investment

III.

ESTIMATED DEVELOPER RETURN
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE
DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

l.	Stabilized Net Operating Income	See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2	\$2,503,000
II.	Total Development Cost	See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1	\$39,628,000

6.3%

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	<u>Direct Costs</u>	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		126	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	3,150,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA	13,399,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cost	S		3,503,000	
	Total Direct Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$275	/Sf of GBA		\$21,020,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,102,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	100	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			631,000	
	Marketing		100	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs	. ,		1,051,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	sts		364,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$7,648,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$31,583,000			Avg Rate	1,705,000	
	Loan Origination Fees			Loan to Value		Points	491,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,915,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		100	Units	\$316,000	/Unit		\$31,583,000
	Total Development Cost		100	Units	\$396,000	•		\$39,569,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

² Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ı.	Gross Income							
A	. Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		29	Units @	\$2,027	/Unit/Month	\$705,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		34	Units @	\$3,123	/Unit/Month	1,274,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		22	Units @	\$4,399	/Unit/Month	1,161,000	
В	. Affordable Units	2						
	Studio/SRO Units		5	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	71,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		6	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	96,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		4	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	72,000	
c	. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	
	Total Gross Income							\$3,391,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income				(170,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$3,221,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
	Property Taxes		100	Units @	\$4,500	/Unit	450,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$970,000)
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,251,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$5.03 per square foot of leasable area.

The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 3

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2 \$2,251,000

Threshold Return on Total Investment 1 6.3%

6.3%

Total Supportable Investment

\$35,638,000

II. Total Development Cost See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1

\$39,569,000

III. Total Financial Gap

(\$3,931,000)

As a % of Land Value 49% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 5.7% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT C PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	<u>Direct Costs</u>	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		126	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	3,150,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA	13,399,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cost	s		3,503,000	
	Total Direct Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$275	/Sf of GBA		\$21,020,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,102,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	100	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			631,000	
	Marketing		100	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,051,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	sts		364,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$7,648,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$31,545,000			Avg Rate	1,703,000	
	Loan Origination Fees			Loan to Value		Points	455,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,877,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		100	Units	\$315,000	/Unit		\$31,545,000
	Total Development Cost		100	Units	\$395,000	•		\$39,531,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE **DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS** SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ı.	Gross Income							
Α.	Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		25	Units @	\$2,027	/Unit/Month	\$608,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		31	Units @		/Unit/Month	1,162,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		19	Units @	\$4,399	/Unit/Month	1,003,000	
В.	Affordable Units	2						
	Studio/SRO Units		9	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	128,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		9	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	144,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		7	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	126,000	
C.	Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	
	Total Gross Income							\$3,183,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income				(159,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$3,024,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
	Property Taxes		100	Units @	\$4,200	/Unit	417,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$937,000)
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,087,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$5.03 per square foot of leasable area.

The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 3

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE **DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS**

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

III.

ı. **Supportable Investment**

> Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 2 \$2,087,000 Threshold Return on Total Investment 1

6.3%

\$39,531,000

Total Supportable Investment \$33,042,000

II. **Total Development Cost** See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 1

Total Financial Gap (\$6,489,000)

As a % of Land Value 81% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 5.3% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT D PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		126	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	3,150,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA	13,399,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow			Other Direct Cos	ts		3,503,000	
	Total Direct Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$275	/Sf of GBA		\$21,020,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,102,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4		Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting			Direct Costs	Ψ=0,000	,	631,000	
	Marketing			Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
	Developer Fee			Direct Costs	Ψ10,000	701	1,051,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance			Other Indirect Co	osts		364,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$7,648,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$31,507,000	Cost		Avg Rate	1,701,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value		Points	419,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,839,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		100	Units	\$315,000	/Unit		\$31,507,000
	Total Development Cost			Units	\$395,000			\$39,493,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I.	Gross Income							
ļ	A. Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		22	Units @	\$2,027	/Unit/Month	\$535,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		26	Units @	\$3,123	/Unit/Month	974,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		17	Units @	\$4,399	/Unit/Month	897,000	
E	3. Affordable Units	2						
	Studio/SRO Units		12	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	170,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		14	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	224,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		9	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	162,000	
(C. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	
	Total Gross Income							\$2,974,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(149,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$2,825,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
	Property Taxes		100	Units @	\$3,800	/Unit	384,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$904,000)
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$1,921,000
ıv.	Intermited Met Oberating mittine							71,321,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$5.03 per square foot of leasable area.

The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 3

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 2 \$1,921,000

Threshold Return on Total Investment

6.3%

Total Supportable Investment \$30,414,000

II. Total Development Cost See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 1 \$39,493,000

III. Total Financial Gap (\$9,079,000)

As a % of Land Value 114% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 4.9% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT E PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		126	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	3,150,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA	13,399,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	ts		3,503,000	
	Total Direct Costs		76,565	Sf of GBA	\$275	/Sf of GBA		\$21,020,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,102,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	100	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			631,000	
	Marketing		100	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,051,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	sts		364,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$7,648,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$31,608,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	1,707,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	514,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,940,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		100	Units	\$316,000	/Unit		\$31,608,000
••	Total Development Cost			Units	\$396,000			\$39,594,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

² Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE
DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. <u>Gross Income</u>							
A. Market Rate Units	1						
Studio/SRO Units		31	Units @	\$2,027	/Unit/Month	\$754,000	
One-Bedroom Units		36	Units @	\$3,123	/Unit/Month	1,349,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		24	Units @	\$4,399	/Unit/Month	1,267,000	
B. Affordable Units	2						
Studio/SRO Units		3	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	43,000	
One-Bedroom Units		4	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	64,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		2	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	36,000	
C. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	
Total Gross Income							\$3,525,000
Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(176,000)
II. Effective Gross Income							\$3,349,000
III. Operating Expenses							
General Operating Expenses		100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
Property Taxes		100	Units @	\$4,700	/Unit	472,000	
Replacement Reserve Deposits		100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
Total Operating Expenses							(\$992,000)
IV. Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,357,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$5.03 per square foot of leasable area.

The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 3

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income

See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 2

\$2,357,000

6.3%

Threshold Return on Total Investment 1

\$37,316,000

II. Total Development Cost

See ATTACHMENT I - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 1

\$39,594,000

(\$2,278,000)

III. Total Financial Gap

Inclusionary Percentage

As a % of Land Value

Total Supportable Investment

9.0%

29% Decrease

Effective Developer Return

6.0% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT II

PRO FORMA ANALYSES DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		85	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	2,125,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$200	/Sf of GBA	20,657,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	ts		4,750,000	
	Total Direct Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$276	/Sf of GBA		\$28,500,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,850,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	3,375,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs	. ,	,	855,000	
	Marketing		135	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,350,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs		•	1,425,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	osts		493,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$10,348,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$42,605,000	Cost		Avg Rate	2,301,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value		Points	737,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$3,757,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		135	Units	\$316,000	/Unit		\$42,605,000
٧.	Total Development Cost			Units	\$375,000			\$50,591,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

² Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 0.5 spaces for Studio Units; 0.5 spaces for One-Bedroom Units; and 1 space for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

l.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		85	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	2,125,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$200	/Sf of GBA	20,657,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	ts		4,750,000	
	Total Direct Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$276	/Sf of GBA		\$28,500,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,850,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	3,375,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			855,000	
	Marketing		135	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,350,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,425,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	osts		493,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$10,348,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$42,517,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	2,296,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	654,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$3,669,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		135	Units	\$315,000	/Unit		\$42,517,000
	Total Development Cost			Units	\$374,000			\$50,503,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

² Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 0.5 spaces for Studio Units; 0.5 spaces for One-Bedroom Units; and 1 space for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

ı.	Gross Income							
	A. Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		39	Units @	\$2,027	/Unit/Month	\$949,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		46	Units @	\$3,123	/Unit/Month	1,724,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		30	Units @	\$4,399	/Unit/Month	1,584,000	
	B. Affordable Units	2						
	Density Bonus (50% AMI/30% Inc)	3						
	Studio/SRO Units		4	Units @	\$725	/Unit/Month	35,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		4	Units @	\$812	/Unit/Month	39,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		3	Units @	\$909	/Unit/Month	33,000	
	Inclusionary (80% AMI/30% Inc)	4						
	Studio/SRO Units		3	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	43,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		4	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	64,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		2	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	36,000	
	C. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		135	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	16,000	_
	Total Gross Income							\$4,523,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(226,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$4,297,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		135	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$675,000	
	Property Taxes		135	Units @	\$4,400	/Unit	599,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		135	Units @	\$200	/Unit	27,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$1,301,000)
								40.000.000
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,996,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$5.03 per square foot of leasable area.

The affordable units equate to 15% of the Total Units and 20% of the Base Units.

For the purposes of the State density bonus, 11% of the Base Units are set aside for very-low income households. State Density Bonus calculates very-low income rents based on household income at 50% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

The balance of the affordable units are set aside using the City's Inclusionary standards. The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 3

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2 \$2

Threshold Return on Total Investment 1

\$2,996,000 6.3%

Total Supportable Investment

\$47,433,000

II. Total Development Cost

See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1

\$50,503,000

III. Total Financial Gap

(\$3,070,000)

As a % of Land Value

38% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 5.9% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT C PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

l.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	<u>Direct Costs</u>	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		85	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	2,125,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$200	/Sf of GBA	20,657,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	ts		4,750,000	
	Total Direct Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$276	/Sf of GBA		\$28,500,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,850,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	3,375,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			855,000	
	Marketing		135	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,350,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,425,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	osts		493,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$10,348,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$42,462,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	2,293,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	602,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$3,614,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		135	Units	\$315,000	/Unit		\$42,462,000
	Total Development Cost			Units	\$374,000			\$50,448,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 0.5 spaces for Studio Units; 0.5 spaces for One-Bedroom Units; and 1 space for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I. Gross Income							
A. Market Rate Units	1						
Studio/SRO Units		34	Units @	\$2,027	/Unit/Month	\$827,000	
One-Bedroom Units		41	Units @	\$3,123	/Unit/Month	1,536,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		26	Units @	\$4,399	/Unit/Month	1,372,000	
B. Affordable Units	2						
Density Bonus (50% AMI/30% Inc)	3						
Studio/SRO Units		4	Units @	\$725	/Unit/Month	35,000	
One-Bedroom Units		4	Units @	\$812	/Unit/Month	39,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		3	Units @	\$909	/Unit/Month	33,000	
Inclusionary (80% AMI/30% Inc)	4						
Studio/SRO Units		8	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	113,000	
One-Bedroom Units		9	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	144,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		6	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	108,000	
C. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		135	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	16,000	
Total Gross Income							\$4,223,000
Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(211,000)
II. Effective Gross Income							\$4,012,000
III. Operating Expenses							
General Operating Expenses		135	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$675,000	
Property Taxes		135	Units @	\$4,100	/Unit	552,000	
Replacement Reserve Deposits		135	Units @	\$200	/Unit	27,000	
Total Operating Expenses							(\$1,254,000)
IV. Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,758,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$5.03 per square foot of leasable area.

The affordable units equate to 25% of the Total Units and 34% of the Base Units.

For the purposes of the State density bonus, 11% of the Base Units are set aside for very-low income households. State Density Bonus calculates very-low income rents based on household income at 50% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

The balance of the affordable units are set aside using the City's Inclusionary standards. The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 3

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ı. **Supportable Investment**

> Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 2 \$2,758,000 Threshold Return on Total Investment 1

6.3%

Total Supportable Investment \$43,665,000

II. **Total Development Cost** See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 1 \$50,448,000

Total Financial Gap (\$6,783,000) III.

As a % of Land Value 85% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 5.5% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT D PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		85	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	2,125,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$200	/Sf of GBA	20,657,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cost	S		4,750,000	
	Total Direct Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$276	/Sf of GBA		\$28,500,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,850,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	3,375,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs	. ,	•	855,000	
	Marketing		135	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,350,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs	. ,	•	1,425,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	sts		493,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$10,348,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$42,412,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	2,290,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	555,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$3,564,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		135	Units	\$314,000	/Unit		\$42,412,000
••	Total Development Cost			Units	\$373,000			\$50,398,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 0.5 spaces for Studio Units; 0.5 spaces for One-Bedroom Units; and 1 space for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I. Gross Income							
A. Market Rate Units	1						
Studio/SRO Units		30	Units @	\$2,027	/Unit/Month	\$730,000	
One-Bedroom Units		35	Units @	\$3,123	/Unit/Month	1,312,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		23	Units @	\$4,399	/Unit/Month	1,214,000	
B. Affordable Units	2						
Density Bonus (50% AMI/30% Inc)	3						
Studio/SRO Units		4	Units @	\$725	/Unit/Month	35,000	
One-Bedroom Units		4	Units @	\$812	/Unit/Month	39,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		3	Units @	\$909	/Unit/Month	33,000	
Inclusionary (80% AMI/30% Inc)	4						
Studio/SRO Units		12	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	170,000	
One-Bedroom Units		15	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	240,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		9	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	162,000	
C. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		135	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	16,000	
Total Gross Income							\$3,951,000
Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(198,000)
II. Effective Gross Income							\$3,753,000
III. Operating Expenses							
General Operating Expenses		135	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$675,000	
Property Taxes		135	Units @	\$3,800	/Unit	509,000	
Replacement Reserve Deposits		135	Units @	\$200	/Unit	27,000	
Total Operating Expenses							(\$1,211,000)
IV. Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,542,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$5.03 per square foot of leasable area.

The affordable units equate to 35% of the Total Units and 47% of the Base Units.

For the purposes of the State density bonus, 11% of the Base Units are set aside for very-low income households. State Density Bonus calculates very-low income rents based on household income at 50% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

The balance of the affordable units are set aside using the City's Inclusionary standards. The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 3

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 2
Threshold Return on Total Investment 1

- TABLE 2 \$2,542,000

6.3%

Total Supportable Investment

\$40,245,000

II. Total Development Cost

See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 1

\$50,398,000

III. Total Financial Gap

(\$10,153,000)

As a % of Land Value

127% Decrease

Effective Developer Return

5.0% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT E PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	48,400	Sf of Land	\$165	/Sf of Land		\$7,986,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		48,400	Sf of Land	\$20	/Sf of Land	\$968,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		0	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	0	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		85	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	2,125,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$200	/Sf of GBA	20,657,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	ts		4,750,000	
	Total Direct Costs		103,286	Sf of GBA	\$276	/Sf of GBA		\$28,500,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,850,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	3,378,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			855,000	
	Marketing		135	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,351,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,425,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	osts		493,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$10,352,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$7,986,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$719,000	
	Construction	6	\$42,533,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	2,297,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	665,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$3,681,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		135	Units	\$315,000	/Unit		\$42,533,000
••	Total Development Cost			Units	\$374,000			\$50,519,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar

Based on 0.5 spaces for Studio Units; 0.5

Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I. Gross Income							
A. Market Rate Units	1						
Studio/SRO Units		40	Units @	\$2,027	/Unit/Month	\$973,000	
One-Bedroom Units		47	Units @	\$3,123	/Unit/Month	1,761,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		31	Units @	\$4,399	/Unit/Month	1,636,000	
B. Affordable Units	2						
Density Bonus (50% AMI/30% Inc)	3						
Studio/SRO Units		4	Units @	\$725	/Unit/Month	35,000	
One-Bedroom Units		4	Units @	\$812	/Unit/Month	39,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		3	Units @	\$909	/Unit/Month	33,000	
Inclusionary (80% AMI/30% Inc)	4						
Studio/SRO Units		2	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	28,000	
One-Bedroom Units		3	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	48,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		1	Unit @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	18,000	
C. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		135	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	16,000	
Total Gross Income							\$4,587,000
Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(229,000)
II. Effective Gross Income							\$4,358,000
III. Operating Expenses							
General Operating Expenses		135	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$675,600	
Property Taxes		135	Units @	\$4,500	/Unit	609,000	
Replacement Reserve Deposits		135	Units @	\$200	/Unit	27,000	
Total Operating Expenses							(\$1,311,600)
IV. Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$3,046,400

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$5.03 per square foot of leasable area.

The affordable units equate to 12.5% of the Total Units and 17.0% of the Base Units.

For the purposes of the State density bonus, 11% of the Base Units are set aside for very-low income households. State Density Bonus calculates very-low income rents based on household income at 50% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

The balance of the affordable units are set aside using the City's Inclusionary standards. The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 3

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE

DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 2 Threshold Return on Total Investment 1

\$3,046,400

6.3%

Total Supportable Investment

\$48,231,000

II. Total Development Cost

See ATTACHMENT II - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 1

\$50,519,000

(\$2,288,000)

III. Total Financial Gap

Inclusionary Percentage
As a % of Land Value

12.5%

29% Decrease

Effective Developer Return

6.0% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 90 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT III

PRO FORMA ANALYSES NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT A PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	124,400	Sf of Land	\$50	/Sf of Land		\$6,220,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		124,400	Sf of Land	\$10	/Sf of Land	\$1,244,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		140	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	700,000	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		0	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	0	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		94,181	Sf of GBA	\$125	/Sf of GBA	11,773,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Costs			2,743,000	
	Total Direct Costs		94,181	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA		\$16,460,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$1,646,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	100	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs	. ,	•	494,000	
	Marketing		100	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs	. ,	•	823,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Costs	S		323,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$6,786,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$6,220,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$373,000	
	Construction	6	\$24,973,000	Cost		Avg Rate	899,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value		Points	455,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$1,727,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		100	Units	\$250,000	/Unit		\$24,973,000
	Total Development Cost			Units	\$312,000			\$31,193,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income						\$2,086,000
	Total Operating Expenses						(\$937,000)
	Replacement Reserve Deposits	100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
	Property Taxes	100	Units @	\$4,200	/Unit	417,000	
	General Operating Expenses	100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
III.	Operating Expenses						
II.	Effective Gross Income						\$3,023,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance	5%	Gross Income				(159,000)
	Total Gross Income						\$3,182,000
(C. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income	100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units	0	Units @	\$0	/Unit/Month	0	
	One-Bedroom Units	0	Units @	\$0	/Unit/Month	0	
	Studio/SRO Units	0	Units @	\$0	/Unit/Month	0	
E	3. Affordable Units						
	Two-Bedroom Units	40	Units @	\$3,107	/Unit/Month	1,491,000	
	One-Bedroom Units	49	Units @	\$2,540	/Unit/Month	1,494,000	
	Studio/SRO Units	11	Units @	\$1,403	/Unit/Month	\$185,000	
,	A. Market Rate Units						
I.	Gross Income						

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$3.51 per square foot of leasable area.

ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 3

ESTIMATED DEVELOPER RETURN
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ı.	Stabilized Net Operating Income	See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2	\$2,086,000
II.	Total Development Cost	See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1	\$31,193,000
III.	Return on Total Investment		6.79

ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT B PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

Parkir At-G Abo 1st I 2nd Buildi Contr. Total D III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Devel Soft C	ites / Landscaping ng Grade Spaces ove-Ground Podium Spaces Level Subterranean ing Costs ractor/DC Contingency Allow Direct Costs	3	140 0 0 0 94,181 20%	Sf of Land Spaces Spaces Spaces Spaces Spaces Sf of GBA Other Direct Costs	\$5,000 \$25,000 \$35,000 \$45,000 \$125	/Space	\$1,244,000 700,000 0 0 0 11,773,000	
Parkir At-G Abo 1st I 2nd Buildi Contr. Total D III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Devel Soft C	org Grade Spaces Ove-Ground Podium Spaces Level Subterranean d Level Subterranean ing Costs ractor/DC Contingency Allow Direct Costs	3	140 0 0 0 94,181 20%	Spaces Spaces Spaces Spaces Sf of GBA	\$5,000 \$25,000 \$35,000 \$45,000 \$125	/Space /Space /Space /Space	700,000 0 0	
At-G Abo 1st I 2nd Buildi Contri Total D III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Devel Soft C	Grade Spaces ove-Ground Podium Spaces Level Subterranean d Level Subterranean ing Costs ractor/DC Contingency Allow Direct Costs	3	0 0 0 94,181 20%	Spaces Spaces Spaces Sf of GBA	\$25,000 \$35,000 \$45,000 \$125	/Space /Space /Space	0 0 0	
Abo 1st I 2nd Buildi Contro Total D III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Devel Soft C	Direct Costs Dove-Ground Podium Spaces Level Subterranean d Level Subterranean ding Costs ractor/DC Contingency Allow		0 0 0 94,181 20%	Spaces Spaces Spaces Sf of GBA	\$25,000 \$35,000 \$45,000 \$125	/Space /Space /Space	0 0 0	
1st I 2nd Buildi Contro Total D III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Develor	Level Subterranean d Level Subterranean ing Costs ractor/DC Contingency Allow Direct Costs		0 0 94,181 20%	Spaces Spaces Sf of GBA	\$35,000 \$45,000 \$125	/Space /Space	0	
2nd Buildi Contro Total D III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Develor	d Level Subterranean ing Costs ractor/DC Contingency Allow Direct Costs		0 94,181 20%	Spaces Sf of GBA	\$45,000 \$125	/Space	0	
Buildi Contra Total D III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Develos	ing Costs ractor/DC Contingency Allow Direct Costs		94,181 20%	Sf of GBA	\$125		· ·	
Total D III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Devel Soft C	ractor/DC Contingency Allow Direct Costs		20%			/Sf of GBA	11,773,000	
III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Devel	Direct Costs			Other Direct Costs				
III. Indirect Archit Public Taxes Marke Devel							2,743,000	
Archit Public Taxes Marke Devel Soft C			94,181	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA		\$16,460,000
Public Taxes Marke Devel Soft C	ct Costs							
Public Taxes Marke Devel Soft C	itecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$1,646,000	
Marke Devel Soft C	c Permits & Fees	4	100	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
Marke Devel Soft C	s, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			494,000	
Soft C			100	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
Soft C	loper Fee		5%	Direct Costs			823,000	
	Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Cost	ts		323,000	
Total In	ndirect Costs							\$6,786,000
IV. <u>Financi</u>	ing Costs							
Intere	est During Construction							
Land	d	5	\$6,220,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$373,000	
Con	nstruction	6	\$24,934,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	898,000	
Loan (Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	417,000	
Total Fi	inancing Costs							\$1,688,000
V. Total Co			100	Units	\$249,000	/Unit		\$24,934,000
Total D	Construction Cost			Units	\$312,000			\$31,154,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

² Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 12 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I.	Gross Income							
A.	Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		9	Units @	\$1,403	/Unit/Month	\$152,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		42	Units @	\$2,540	/Unit/Month	1,280,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		34	Units @	\$3,107	/Unit/Month	1,267,000	
В.	Affordable Units	2						
	Studio/SRO Units		2	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	28,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		7	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	112,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		6	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	108,000	
c.	Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	
	Total Gross Income							\$2,959,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(148,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$2,811,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
	Property Taxes		100	Units @	\$3,800	/Unit	382,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$902,000)
IV	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$1 909 000
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$1,909,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$3.50 per square foot of leasable area.

The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2 \$1,909,000

Threshold Return on Total Investment 1 6.7%

0.770

Total Supportable Investment

\$28,546,000

II. Total Development Cost

See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1

\$31,154,000

III. Total Financial Gap

(\$2,608,000)

As a % of Land Value

42% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 6.1% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT C PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	124,400	Sf of Land	\$50	/Sf of Land		\$6,220,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		124,400	Sf of Land	\$10	/Sf of Land	\$1,244,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		140	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	700,000	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		0	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	0	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		94,181	Sf of GBA	\$125	/Sf of GBA	11,773,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	ts		2,743,000	
	Total Direct Costs		94,181	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA		\$16,460,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$1,646,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	100	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			494,000	
	Marketing		100	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			823,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	osts		323,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$6,786,000
IV.	Financing Costs Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$6,220,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$373,000	
	Construction	6	\$24,907,000		6.0%	Avg Rate	897,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	391,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$1,661,000
٧.	Total Construction Cost		100	Units	\$249,000	/Unit		\$24,907,000
	Total Development Cost		100	Units	\$311,000	•		\$31,127,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

² Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 12 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I.	Gross Income							
A.	Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		8	Units @	\$1,403	/Unit/Month	\$135,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		37	Units @	\$2,540	/Unit/Month	1,128,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		30	Units @	\$3,107	/Unit/Month	1,118,000	
В.	Affordable Units	2						
	Studio/SRO Units		3	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	43,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		12	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	192,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		10	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	180,000	
C.	Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	_
	Total Gross Income							\$2,808,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income				(140,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$2,668,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
	Property Taxes		100	Units @	\$3,600	/Unit	358,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$878,000)
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$1,790,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$3.50 per square foot of leasable area.

The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 2 \$1,790,000

Threshold Return on Total Investment 1 6.7%

Total Supportable Investment \$26,767,000

II. Total Development Cost See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 1 \$31,127,000

III. Total Financial Gap (\$4,360,000)

As a % of Land Value 70% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 5.8% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT D PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	124,400	Sf of Land	\$50	/Sf of Land		\$6,220,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		124,400	Sf of Land	\$10	/Sf of Land	\$1,244,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		140	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	700,000	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		0	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	0	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		94,181	Sf of GBA	\$125	/Sf of GBA	11,773,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Costs			2,743,000	
	Total Direct Costs		94,181	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA		\$16,460,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$1,646,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4		Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting			Direct Costs	7-0,000	,	494,000	
	Marketing			Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs	7-0,000	,	823,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance			Other Indirect Cost	ts		323,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$6,786,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$6,220,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$373,000	
	Construction	6	\$24,879,000			Avg Rate	896,000	
	Loan Origination Fees			Loan to Value		Points	364,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$1,633,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		100	Units	\$249,000	/Unit		\$24,879,000
	Total Development Cost			Units	\$311,000			\$31,099,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

² Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 12 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Gross Income							
A. Market Rate Units	1						
Studio/SRO Units		7	Units @	\$1,403	/Unit/Month	\$118,000	
One-Bedroom Units		32	Units @	\$2,540	/Unit/Month	975,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		26	Units @	\$3,107	/Unit/Month	969,000	
B. Affordable Units	2						
Studio/SRO Units		4	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	57,000	
One-Bedroom Units		17	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	272,000	
Two-Bedroom Units		14	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	251,000	
C. Laundry & Miscellaneous	Income	100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	
Total Gross Income							\$2,654,000
Vacancy & Collection Allow	vance	5%	Gross Income				(133,000)
II. Effective Gross Income							\$2,521,000
III. Operating Expenses							
General Operating Expen	ses	100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
Property Taxes		100	Units @	\$3,300	/Unit	334,000	
Replacement Reserve De	posits	100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
Total Operating Expenses							(\$854,000)
IV. Stabilized Net Operating In	ncome						\$1,667,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$3.50 per square foot of leasable area.

The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 2 \$1,667,000

Threshold Return on Total Investment 1 6.7%

Total Supportable Investment \$24,927,000

II. Total Development Cost See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 1 \$31,099,000

III. Total Financial Gap (\$6,172,000)

As a % of Land Value 99% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 5.4% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT E PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	124,400	Sf of Land	\$50	/Sf of Land		\$6,220,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		124,400	Sf of Land	\$10	/Sf of Land	\$1,244,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		140	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	700,000	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		0	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	0	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		94,181	Sf of GBA	\$125	/Sf of GBA	11,773,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	ts		2,743,000	
	Total Direct Costs		94,181	Sf of GBA	\$175	/Sf of GBA		\$16,460,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$1,646,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	100	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	2,500,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			494,000	
	Marketing		100	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,000,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			823,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	osts		323,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$6,786,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$6,220,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$373,000	
	Construction	6	\$24,946,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	898,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	429,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$1,700,000
٧.	Total Construction Cost		100	Units	\$249,000	/Unit		\$24,946,000
-	Total Development Cost			Units	\$312,000			\$31,166,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS
SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I.	Gross Income							
A.	Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		10	Units @	\$1,403	/Unit/Month	\$168,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		44	Units @	\$2,540	/Unit/Month	1,341,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		36	Units @	\$3,107	/Unit/Month	1,342,000	
В.	Affordable Units	2						
	Studio/SRO Units		1	Unit @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	14,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	80,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		4	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	72,000	
c.	Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		100	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	12,000	
	Total Gross Income							\$3,029,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income				(151,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$2,878,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		100	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$500,000	
	Property Taxes		100	Units @	\$3,900	/Unit	393,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		100	Units @	\$200	/Unit	20,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$913,000)
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$1,965,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$3.51 per square foot of leasable area.

The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE
INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 2 \$1,965,000

Threshold Return on Total Investment 1 6.7%

4-----

10.0%

Total Supportable Investment

\$29,384,000

II. Total Development Cost

Inclusionary Percentage

See ATTACHMENT III - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 1

\$31,166,000

III. Total Financial Gap

(\$1,782,000)

As a % of Land Value 29% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 6.3% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT IV

PRO FORMA ANALYSES DOWNTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT A PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE

I: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	124,400	Sf of Land	\$50	/Sf of Land		\$6,220,000
II.	<u>Direct Costs</u>	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping Parking	3	124,400	Sf of Land	\$10	/Sf of Land	\$1,244,000	
	At-Grade Spaces		120	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	600,000	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces			Spaces	\$25,000		1,725,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		127,063	Sf of GBA	\$125	/Sf of GBA	15,883,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cos	its		3,890,000	
	Total Direct Costs		127,063	Sf of GBA	\$184	/Sf of GBA		\$23,342,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,334,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	3,375,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			700,000	
	Marketing		135	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,350,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,167,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect C	osts		446,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$9,372,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction	5	45.000.000				40-0 000	
	Land	6	\$6,220,000			Avg Rate	\$373,000	
	Construction	О	\$34,960,000			Avg Rate	1,259,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	614,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,246,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		135	Units	\$259,000	/Unit		\$34,960,000
	Total Development Cost		135	Units	\$305,000	•		\$41,180,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

² Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 12 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT B PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	124,400 S	of of Land	\$50	/Sf of Land		\$6,220,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		124,400 S	of Land	\$10	/Sf of Land	\$1,244,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		120 S	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	600,000	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		69 S	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	1,725,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0 S	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0 S	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		127,063 S	of GBA	\$125	/Sf of GBA	15,883,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20% C	Other Direct Costs			3,890,000	
	Total Direct Costs		127,063 S	of GBA	\$184	/Sf of GBA		\$23,342,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10% C	Direct Costs			\$2,334,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135 L	Jnits	\$25,000	/Unit	3,375,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3% D	Direct Costs			700,000	
	Marketing		135 L	Jnits	\$10,000	/Unit	1,350,000	
	Developer Fee		5% C	Direct Costs			1,167,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5% C	Other Indirect Costs			446,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$9,372,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$6,220,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$373,000	
	Construction	6	\$34,892,000 C	Cost		Avg Rate	1,256,000	
	Loan Origination Fees			oan to Value		Points	549,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,178,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		135 U	Jnits	\$258,000	/Unit		\$34,892,000
	Total Development Cost		135 L		\$305,000	•		\$41,112,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 12 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

l.	Gross Income							
A	. Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		13	Units @	\$1,403	/Unit/Month	\$219,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		56	Units @	\$2,540	/Unit/Month	1,707,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		46	Units @	\$3,107	/Unit/Month	1,715,000	
В	. Affordable Units	2						
	Density Bonus (50% AMI/30% Inc)	3						
	Studio/SRO Units		1	Unit @	\$725	/Unit/Month	9,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$812	/Unit/Month	49,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$909	/Unit/Month	55,000	
	Inclusionary (80% AMI/30% Inc)	4						
	Studio/SRO Units		1	Unit @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	14,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	80,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		3	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	54,000	
c	. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		135	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	16,000	
	Total Gross Income							\$3,918,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(196,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$3,722,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		135	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$675,000	
	Property Taxes		135	Units @	\$3,700	/Unit	503,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		135	Units @	\$200	/Unit	27,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$1,205,000)
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,517,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$3.51 per square foot of leasable area.

The affordable units equate to 15% of the Total Units and 20% of the Base Units.

For the purposes of the State density bonus, 11% of the Base Units are set aside for very-low income households. State Density Bonus calculates very-low income rents based on household income at 50% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

The balance of the affordable units are set aside using the City's Inclusionary standards. The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 15% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income
Threshold Return on Total Investment 1

See ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 2

\$2,517,000

6.7%

Total Supportable Investment

\$37,638,000

II. Total Development Cost

See ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1

\$41,112,000

(\$3,474,000)

III. Total Financial Gap

56% Decrease

As a % of Land Value Effective Developer Return

6.1% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT C PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	124,400	Sf of Land	\$50	/Sf of Land		\$6,220,000
II.	<u>Direct Costs</u>	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		124,400	Sf of Land	\$10	/Sf of Land	\$1,244,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		120	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	600,000	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		69	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	1,725,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		127,063	Sf of GBA	\$125	/Sf of GBA	15,883,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Cost	S		3,890,000	
	Total Direct Costs		127,063	Sf of GBA	\$184	/Sf of GBA		\$23,342,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,334,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	3,375,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			700,000	
	Marketing		135	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,350,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,167,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Co	sts		446,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$9,372,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$6,220,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$373,000	
	Construction	6	\$34,855,000			Avg Rate	1,255,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value	2.0	Points	513,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,141,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		135	Units	\$258,000	/Unit		\$34,855,000
	Total Development Cost			Units	\$304,000			\$41,075,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 12 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

ı.	Gross Income							
A	a. Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		11	Units @	\$1,403	/Unit/Month	\$185,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		50	Units @	\$2,540	/Unit/Month	1,524,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		40	Units @	\$3,107	/Unit/Month	1,491,000	
E	3. Affordable Units	2						
	Density Bonus (50% AMI/30% Inc)	3						
	Studio/SRO Units		1	Unit @	\$725	/Unit/Month	9,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$812	/Unit/Month	49,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$909	/Unit/Month	55,000	
	Inclusionary (80% AMI/30% Inc)	4						
	Studio/SRO Units		3	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	43,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		11	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	176,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		9	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	162,000	
C	C. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		135	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	16,000	
	Total Gross Income							\$3,710,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(186,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$3,524,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		135	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$675,000	
	Property Taxes		135	Units @	\$3,500	/Unit	470,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		135	Units @	\$200	/Unit	27,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$1,172,000)
IV.	Stabilized Not Operating Income							¢2.252.000
ıv.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,352,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$3.51 per square foot of leasable area.

The affordable units equate to 25% of the Total Units and 34% of the Base Units.

For the purposes of the State density bonus, 11% of the Base Units are set aside for very-low income households. State Density Bonus calculates very-low income rents based on household income at 50% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

The balance of the affordable units are set aside using the City's Inclusionary standards. The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 25% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE
NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

II.

III.

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 2 \$2,352,000

Threshold Return on Total Investment 1 6.7%

\$35,171,000

\$41,075,000

(\$5,904,000)

Total Supportable Investment

Total Development Cost

Total Financial Gap

See ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT C - TABLE 1

As a % of Land Value 95% Decrease

Effective Developer Return 5.7% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT D PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

ı.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	124,400	Sf of Land	\$50	/Sf of Land		\$6,220,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		124,400	Sf of Land	\$10	/Sf of Land	\$1,244,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		120	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	600,000	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		69	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	1,725,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		127,063	Sf of GBA	\$125	/Sf of GBA	15,883,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Costs			3,890,000	
	Total Direct Costs		127,063	Sf of GBA	\$184	/Sf of GBA		\$23,342,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,334,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	3,375,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			700,000	
	Marketing		135	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,350,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,167,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect Costs	5		446,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$9,372,000
IV.	Financing Costs							
	Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$6,220,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$373,000	
	Construction	6	\$34,818,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	1,253,000	
	Loan Origination Fees		60%	Loan to Value		Points	478,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,104,000
V.	Total Construction Cost		125	Units	\$258,000	/Unit		\$34,818,000
••	Total Development Cost				\$304,000	•		\$41,038,000
	Total Development cost		133	Offics	7307,000	701110		7-1,000,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar uses.

Based on 1 space for Studio Units; 1 space for One-Bedroom Units; and 2 spaces for Two-Bedroom Units.

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 12 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

⁶ Based on an 12 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

l.	Gross Income							
Α	. Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		10	Units @	\$1,403	/Unit/Month	\$168,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		43	Units @	\$2,540	/Unit/Month	1,311,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		35	Units @	\$3,107	/Unit/Month	1,305,000	
В	. Affordable Units	2						
	Density Bonus (50% AMI/30% Inc)	3						
	Studio/SRO Units		1	Unit @	\$725	/Unit/Month	9,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$812	/Unit/Month	49,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$909	/Unit/Month	55,000	
	Inclusionary (80% AMI/30% Inc)	4						
	Studio/SRO Units		4	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	57,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		18	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	288,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		14	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	251,000	
c	. Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		135	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	16,000	
	Total Gross Income							\$3,509,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(175,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$3,334,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		135	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$675,000	
	Property Taxes		135	Units @	\$3,300	/Unit	439,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		135	Units @	\$200	/Unit	27,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$1,141,000)
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,193,000

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 2. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$3.51 per square foot of leasable area.

The affordable units equate to 35% of the Total Units and 47% of the Base Units.

For the purposes of the State density bonus, 11% of the Base Units are set aside for very-low income households. State Density Bonus calculates very-low income rents based on household income at 50% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

The balance of the affordable units are set aside using the City's Inclusionary standards. The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: 35% INCLUSIONARY REQUIREMENT ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ı. **Supportable Investment**

> Stabilized Net Operating Income See ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 2 \$2,193,000 Threshold Return on Total Investment 1

6.7%

Total Supportable Investment

\$32,793,000

II. **Total Development Cost** See ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT D - TABLE 1

\$41,038,000

Total Financial Gap III.

(\$8,245,000)

As a % of Land Value

133% Decrease

Effective Developer Return

5.3% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT E PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

ESTIMATED DEVELOPMENT COSTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I.	Property Acquisition Costs	1	124,400	Sf of Land	\$50	/Sf of Land		\$6,220,000
II.	Direct Costs	2						
	On-Sites / Landscaping		124,400	Sf of Land	\$10	/Sf of Land	\$1,244,000	
	Parking	3						
	At-Grade Spaces		120	Spaces	\$5,000	/Space	600,000	
	Above-Ground Podium Spaces		69	Spaces	\$25,000	/Space	1,725,000	
	1st Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$35,000	/Space	0	
	2nd Level Subterranean		0	Spaces	\$45,000	/Space	0	
	Building Costs		127,063	Sf of GBA	\$125	/Sf of GBA	15,883,000	
	Contractor/DC Contingency Allow		20%	Other Direct Co	sts		3,890,000	
	Total Direct Costs		127,063	Sf of GBA	\$184	/Sf of GBA		\$23,342,000
III.	Indirect Costs							
	Architecture, Engineering & Consulting		10%	Direct Costs			\$2,334,000	
	Public Permits & Fees	4	135	Units	\$25,000	/Unit	3,378,000	
	Taxes, Insurance, Legal & Accounting		3%	Direct Costs			700,000	
	Marketing		135	Units	\$10,000	/Unit	1,351,000	
	Developer Fee		5%	Direct Costs			1,167,000	
	Soft Cost Contingency Allowance		5%	Other Indirect C	osts		447,000	
	Total Indirect Costs							\$9,377,000
IV.	Financing Costs Interest During Construction							
	Land	5	\$6,220,000	Cost	6.0%	Avg Rate	\$373,000	
	Construction	6	\$34,921,000			Avg Rate	1,257,000	
	Loan Origination Fees			Loan to Value		Points	572,000	
	Total Financing Costs							\$2,202,000
٧.	Total Construction Cost		135	Units	\$258,000	/Unit		\$34,921,000
	Total Development Cost		135	Units	\$305,000	•		\$41,141,000

Based on a survey of recent land sales.

Based on the estimated costs for similar

Based on 0.5 spaces for Studio Units; 0.5

⁴ Based on estimates prepared for other projects within Santa Cruz.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 100% average outstanding loan balance.

Based on an 18 month construction period and a 60% average outstanding loan balance.

ESTIMATED STABILIZED NET OPERATING INCOME
PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

I.	Gross Income							
A	Market Rate Units	1						
	Studio/SRO Units		14	Units @	\$1,403	/Unit/Month	\$236,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		61	Units @	\$2,540	/Unit/Month	1,859,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		49	Units @	\$3,107	/Unit/Month	1,827,000	
В	Affordable Units	2						
	Density Bonus (50% AMI/30% Inc)	3						
	Studio/SRO Units		1	Unit @	\$725	/Unit/Month	9,000	
	One-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$812	/Unit/Month	49,000	
	Two-Bedroom Units		5	Units @	\$909	/Unit/Month	55,000	
	Inclusionary (80% AMI/30% Inc)	4						
	Studio/SRO Units		0	Units @	\$1,182	/Unit/Month	0	
	One-Bedroom Units		0	Units @	\$1,334	/Unit/Month	0	
	Two-Bedroom Units		0	Units @	\$1,496	/Unit/Month	0	
C.	Laundry & Miscellaneous Income		135	Units @	\$10	/Unit/Month	16,000	_
	Total Gross Income							\$4,051,000
	Vacancy & Collection Allowance		5%	Gross Income			_	(203,000)
II.	Effective Gross Income							\$3,848,000
III.	Operating Expenses							
	General Operating Expenses		135	Units @	\$5,000	/Unit	\$675,600	
	Property Taxes		135	Units @	\$3,900	/Unit	524,000	
	Replacement Reserve Deposits		135	Units @	\$200	/Unit	27,000	
	Total Operating Expenses							(\$1,226,600)
IV.	Stabilized Net Operating Income							\$2,621,400

Based on the rent survey presented in ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT A - TABLE 1. The weighted average monthly rent equates to \$3.51 per square foot of leasable area.

The affordable units equate to 8.0% of the Total Units and 11.0% of the Base Units.

For the purposes of the State density bonus, 11% of the Base Units are set aside for very-low income households. State Density Bonus calculates very-low income rents based on household income at 50% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

The balance of the affordable units are set aside using the City's Inclusionary standards. The Inclusionary rent calculations are based on household income at 80% of AMI, with 30% of income allotted to housing related expenses. See ATTACHMENT V - EXHIBIT B - TABLE 1.

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING IMPACTS

PRO FORMA ANALYSIS: FEASIBLE INCLUSIONARY PERCENTAGE ALTERNATIVE

NON-DOWTOWN: 35% DENSITY BONUS

INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS

SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

I. Supportable Investment

Stabilized Net Operating Income

Threshold Return on Total Investment 1

See ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 2

\$2,621,400

6.7%

Total Supportable Investment

II. Total Development Cost

See ATTACHMENT IV - EXHIBIT E - TABLE 1

\$41,141,000

(\$1,942,000)

\$39,199,000

III. Total Financial Gap

Inclusionary Percentage

8.0%

31% Decrease

As a % of Land Value Effective Developer Return

6.4% Return on Total Investment

Based on the Developer Return estimated to be generated by the NON-DOWNTOWN BASE DENSITY: 35 UNITS PER ACRE: MARKET RATE ALTERNATIVE.

ATTACHMENT V

BACKUP TABLES INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

RENT SURVEY INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS DOWNTOWN SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

					Average Effe	ective Rent		
No.	Name	Address	# of Units	Unit Size (SF)	Total	Per SF	Parking Spaces Provided	Location
			Studio U	Jnits				
1	South Pacific Apts	401 Pacific Avenue	61	300	\$1,437	\$4.79	1.0/Unit	Downtown
2	Five55	555 Pacific Avenue	36	440	\$2,292	\$5.21		Downtown
3	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	2	385	\$1,972	\$5.12		Downtown
4	The Breakers	630 Water Street	44	310	\$1,851	\$5.97		Downtown
		Minimum		300	\$1,437	\$4.79		
		Maximum		440	\$2,292	\$5.97		
		Weighted Average		340	\$1,787	\$5.26		_
			One-Bedroc	om Units				
1	Chestnut Street Apts	525 Laurel Street	30	650	\$2,096	\$3.22	1.7/Unit	Downtown
2	Chestnut Street Apts	525 Laurel Street	3	785	\$2,897	\$3.69		Downtown
3	620 Laurel	620 Laurel Street	4	585	\$1,074	\$1.84		Downtown
4	Five55	555 Pacific Avenue	3	519	\$2,544	\$4.90		Downtown
5	Five55	555 Pacific Avenue	4	604	\$2,709	\$4.49		Downtown
6	Five55	555 Pacific Avenue	15	636	\$2,639	\$4.15		Downtown
7	Five55	555 Pacific Avenue	28	638	\$2,631	\$4.12		Downtown
8	Five55	555 Pacific Avenue	8	646	\$2,686	\$4.16		Downtown
9	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	21	549	\$2,429	\$4.42		Downtown
10	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	32	586	\$1,959	\$3.34		Downtown
11	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	4	692	\$2,397	\$3.46		Downtown
12	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	8	698	\$2,756	\$3.95		Downtown
13	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	3	850	\$2,619	\$3.08		Downtown
14	The Breakers	630 Water Street	4	391	\$1,968	\$5.03		Downtown
15	The Breakers	630 Water Street	1	750	\$1,502	\$2.00		Downtown
		Minimum		391	\$1,074	\$1.84		
		Maximum		850	\$2,897	\$5.03		
		Weighted Average		620	\$2,331	\$3.78		

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. File name: Inclusionary Feasibility Study 6 12 18

RENT SURVEY INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS DOWNTOWN SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

					Average Effe	ective Rent		
				Unit Size			Parking Spaces	
No.	Name	Address	# of Units	(SF)	Total	Per SF	Provided	Location
			Two-Bedroo	om Units				
1	Chestnut Street Apts	525 Laurel Street	10	900	\$3,206	\$3.56		Downtown
2	Chestnut Street Apts	525 Laurel Street	7	1,040	\$3,484	\$3.35		Downtown
3	Chestnut Street Apts	525 Laurel Street	10	950	\$3,583	\$3.77		Downtown
4	Chestnut Street Apts	525 Laurel Street	36	1,070	\$3,486	\$3.26		Downtown
5	620 Laurel	620 Laurel Street	1	738	\$1,395	\$1.89		Downtown
6	620 Laurel	620 Laurel Street	1	1,047	\$1,667	\$1.59		Downtown
7	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	3	837	\$3,846	\$4.59		Downtown
8	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	32	878	\$3,729	\$4.25		Downtown
9	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	4	985	\$3,925	\$3.98		Downtown
10	1010 Pacific Ave Apts	1010 Pacific Avenue	2	1,043	\$4,221	\$4.05		Downtown
11	The Breakers	630 Water Street	4	647	\$2,822	\$4.36		Downtown
		Minimum		647	\$1,395	\$1.59		
		Maximum		1,070	\$4,221	\$4.59		
		Weighted Average		957	\$3,519	\$3.72		

Source: Costar; April 2018

RENT SURVEY INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS NON-DOWNTOWN SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

					Average Effe	ective Rent		
				Unit Size			Parking Spaces	
No.	Name	Address	# of Units	(SF)	Total	Per SF	Provided	Location
			Studio U	Jnits				
1	Seaside Studios	108 2nd Street	44	278	\$1,485	\$5.34	1.0/Unit	Other
2	423 Broadway	423 Broadway	1	350	\$864	\$2.47	.4/Unit	Other
3	Redwood Commons	1606 Soquel Avenue	36	298	\$1,303	\$4.37	.5/Unit	Other
		Minimum		278	\$864	\$2.47		
		Maximum		350	\$1,485	\$5.34		
		Weighted Average		288	\$1,396	\$4.88		
			One-Bedroo	om Units				
1	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	74	650	\$2,767	\$4.26	1.1/Unit	Other
2	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	1	742	\$2,571	\$3.46		Other
3	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	1	755	\$2,671	\$3.54		Other
4	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	2	820	\$2,671	\$3.26		Other
5	423 Broadway	423 Broadway	3	700	\$1,268	\$1.81		Other
6	1013 Broadway	1013 Broadway	1	932	\$1,885	\$2.02	1/Unit	Other
7	Breakwater Apts	1630 Merill Street	32	624	\$2,238	\$3.59	1.3/Unit	Other
8	353 Pennsylvania	353 Pennsylvania Avenue	1	600	\$1,484	\$2.47		Other
9	Pacific Shores Apts	1240 Shaffer Road	1	542	\$1,555	\$2.87	2.0/Unit	Other
10	Pacific Shores Apts	1240 Shaffer Road	20	790	\$2,816	\$3.56		Other
11	Pacific Shores Apts	1240 Shaffer Road	83	817	\$2,876	\$3.52		Other
12	Redwood Commons	1606 Soquel Avenue	1	700	\$1,714	\$2.45		Other
13	Outlook Apts	363 Western Drive	88	657	\$2,100	\$3.20	1.6/Unit	Other
14	Outlook Apts	363 Western Drive	60	818	\$2,550	\$3.12		Other
		Minimum		542	\$1,268	\$1.81		
		Maximum		932	\$2,876	\$4.26		
		Weighted Average		724	\$2,528	\$3.51		

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. File name: Inclusionary Feasibility Study 6 12 18

RENT SURVEY INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS NON-DOWNTOWN SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

					Average Effe	ective Rent		
				Unit Size			Parking Spaces	
No.	Name	Address	# of Units	(SF)	Total	Per SF	Provided	Location
			Two-Bedroo	om Units				
1	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	2	835	\$3,381	\$4.05		Other
2	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	12	860	\$3,511	\$4.08		Other
3	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	2	890	\$3,704	\$4.16		Other
4	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	3	932	\$3,475	\$3.73		Other
5	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	1	935	\$3,405	\$3.64		Other
6	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	3	950	\$3,467	\$3.65		Other
7	Oceanview	222 Columbia Street	2	1,020	\$3,600	\$3.53		Other
8	Prodesse Property Group	517-533 Frederick Street	36	767	\$2,535	\$3.31		Other
9	423 Broadway	423 Broadway	1	800	\$1,613	\$2.02		Other
10	1013 Broadway	1013 Broadway	1	946	\$1,885	\$1.99		Other
11	1013 Broadway	1013 Broadway	1	1,208	\$2,120	\$1.75		Other
12	Breakwater Apts	1630 Merill Street	68	886	\$2,863	\$3.23		Other
13	Westmont Place THs	801 Nobel Drive	36	900	\$3,455	\$3.84	1.0/Unit	Other
14	Westmont Place THs	801 Nobel Drive	18	920	\$3,680	\$4.00		Other
15	353 Pennsylvania	353 Pennsylvania Avenue	2	1,050	\$1,428	\$1.36		Other
16	Pacific Shores Apts	1240 Shaffer Road	93	1,016	\$3,209	\$3.16		Other
17	Pacific Shores Apts	1240 Shaffer Road	6	1,035	\$3,550	\$3.43		Other
18	Outlook Apts	363 Western Drive	20	829	\$2,900	\$3.50		Other
		Minimum		767	\$1,428	\$1.36		
		Maximum		1,208	\$3,704	\$4.16		
		Weighted Average		917	\$3,096	\$3.39		

Source: Costar; April 2018

AFFORDABLE RENT CALCULATIONS INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS DOWNTOWN SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

			Studio/SRO Units	One-Bedroom Units	Two-Bedroom Units
I.	General Assumptions				
	Area Median Income	1	\$60,900	\$69,600	\$78,300
	Monthly Utilities Allowance	2	\$36	\$58	\$70
II.	Rent Based on 80% AMI & 30% of Income Allotted to Housing				
	Benchmark Annual Household Income		\$48,720	\$55,680	\$62,640
	Percentage of Income Allotted to Housing Expenses		30%	30%	30%
	Monthly Income Available for Housing Expenses		\$1,218	\$1,392	\$1,566
	(Less) Monthly Utilities Allowance		(36)	(58)	(70)
	Maximum Allowable Rent		\$1,182	\$1,334	\$1,496
III.	Rent Based on 50% AMI & 30% of Income Allotted to Housing				
	Benchmark Annual Household Income		\$30,450	\$34,800	\$39,150
	Percentage of Income Allotted to Housing Expenses		30%	30%	30%
	Monthly Income Available for Housing Expenses		\$761	\$870	\$979
	(Less) Monthly Utilities Allowance		(36)	(58)	(70)
	Maximum Allowable Rent		\$725	\$812	\$909

Prepared by: Keyser Marston Associates

File name: Inclusionary Feasibility Study 6 12 18; Aff Rent

Based on the 2018 Santa Cruz County median incomes published by the California Housing & Community Development Department (HCD).
The benchmark household size is set at the number of bedrooms in the unit plus one.

Based on Santa Cruz County Housing Authority allowances effective as of 10/1/17. Assumes: basic electricity, gas heating, cooking and water heating.

ATTACHMENT VI

KEYSER MARSTON ASSOCIATES, INC. INCLUSIONARY HOUSING AND RESIDENTIAL NEXUS STUDY EXPERIENCE INCLUSIONARY HOUSING FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS SANTA CRUZ, CALIFORNIA

KEYSER MARSTON ASSOCIATES, INC. INCLUSIONARY HOUSING AND RESIDENTIAL NEXUS STUDY EXPERIENCE JUNE 12, 2018

FIRM PROFILE

Keyser Marston Associates, Inc. (KMA) has one of the largest real estate advisory practices on the West Coast. Founded in 1973, KMA serves a diverse client base throughout the West, including nearly every major municipality in California, public housing authorities, port authorities, transit agencies, base closure authorities, counties, special district, school districts, colleges and universities, and hospitals.

KMA's unique strength is the depth, continuity and availability of our principals who average more than 25 years of practical experience in working with business and government. Their personal involvement is a key factor in the firm's ongoing success. Their knowledge and expertise bring clarity to the complexities of real estate development. KMA's many long term, on-going client relationships are a testament to the quality of our work and responsive to client needs.

KMA INCLUSIONARY HOUSING EXPERIENCE

KMA has assisted over 25 cities and counties with their inclusionary housing programs. KMA services range from limited tasks such as analyses that support in-lieu fees to the full design of a new inclusionary program with all of tits ordinance and implementation provisions.

KMA employs its real estate expertise to adapt new or revised programs to local market conditions and policy objectives. As part of our inclusionary work, it is our practice to evaluate the financial feasibility of on-site and in-lieu fee requirements. This approach ensures that the program parameters are feasible and are appropriate.

In addition to undertaking feasibility analyses as part of developing inclusionary programs, KMA has a robust practice in evaluating the development economics of individual projects in which the entitlement agreement entails public investment and the potential for an affordable housing contribution. From this practice, we understand construction costs, financing structures and the "feasibility gap" associated with developing affordable units.

After the *Patterson* and *Palmer* court decisions, KMA worked with clients to modify programs and prepare support materials in light of the new legal environment. On an ongoing basis we work with attorneys on new programs and major updates, jointly analyzing the issues associated with creating, adopting and administering inclusionary housing programs. We are currently working with attorneys to craft inclusionary housing programs that comport with the requirements imposed by the recently adopted AB 1505.

Following is a list of inclusionary housing assignments completed by the Los Angeles KMA office:

City of Burbank	City of Pasadena
City of Campbell	City of Rancho Cucamonga (not adopted)
City of Chino Hills	City of San Buenaventura
City of Claremont	City of San Dimas
City of Dana Point (not adopted)	City of San Jose
City of Davis	City of Santa Ana
City of Duarte	City of Santa Clarita
City of Glendale	City of Santa Cruz
City of Huntington Beach	City of Santa Paula
City of Los Angeles (not adopted)	City of Tustin
County of Los Angeles	City of West Hollywood
City of Newport Beach	City of Whittier
City of Oceanside	

KMA LA's other inclusionary housing assignments include:

- 1. KMA is currently assisting the Cities of Burbank, Glendale and Santa Cruz in updating existing inclusionary housing ordinances.
- 2. On an ongoing basis, KMA assists the City of Claremont in administering their existing inclusionary housing program.
- 3. KMA has provided inclusionary housing and residential nexus study sessions to the City Councils in Anaheim and South Pasadena.
- 4. KMA will soon be commencing an inclusionary housing feasibility study for the City of Long Beach.

PREVIOUS RESIDENTIAL NEXUS EXPERIENCE

KMA's first market rate residential nexus analysis was prepared for the City of Seattle in 2005. KMA had previously completed an affordable housing nexus analysis on office and hotel projects in Downtown Seattle; a few years later the City approached us to undertake an analysis that would allow the City to also charge market rate residential projects a fee for affordable housing impacts.

Following the Seattle analysis, KMA performed additional market rate residential nexus analyses for San Francisco to support its inclusionary program. Altogether, KMA prepared five assignments prior to the *Palmer* decision. Since *Palmer* and *Patterson*, KMA has prepared numerous additional similar analyses.

A list of the firm's residential nexus assignments follows:

City of Bainbridge Island	City of Placentia
City of Carlsbad	City of Rancho Cordova
City of Concord	City of Richmond
City of Cupertino	City and County of Sacramento
City of Daly City	City of San Diego
City of Elk Grove	City and County of San Francisco
City of Emeryville	City of San Jose
City of Fremont	City of San Ramon
City of Hayward	Santa Cruz County
Honolulu, Hawaii	City of Solana Beach
City of Livermore	City of Sonoma
City of Mill Valley	City of Walnut Creek
City and County of Napa	City of West Hollywood
City of Newark	